

# **Technical Support Document**

## **Industry Overview and Current Reporting Requirements for Petroleum Refining and Petroleum Imports**

## **Proposed Rule for Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases**

Office of Air and Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

January 30, 2009

## Table of Contents

|  | <b><u>Page</u></b> |
|--|--------------------|
| 1.0. Introduction.....   | 1                  |
| 1.1. Purpose.....  | 1                  |
| 1.2. Organization of this Report.....                                  | 1                  |
| 2.0. Overview of the Petroleum Industry.....                           | 2                  |
| 2.1. The Role of Petroleum in the Economy.....                         | 2                  |
| 2.2. Structure of the Petroleum Industry.....                          | 7                  |
| 3a.0. Industry Federal Reporting Requirements .....                    | 17                 |
| 3a.1. Refineries .....   | 18                 |
| 3a.2. Imports .....  | 21                 |
| 3a.3. Exports .....  | 25                 |
| 3a.4. Others .....   | 25                 |
| 3b.0. Data Gaps and Quality .....                                      | 26                 |
| 3b.1. Reporting Gaps in Industry Coverage .....                        | 27                 |
| 3b.2. Data Sensitivity .....   | 27                 |
| 3b.3. Quality Assurance and Control.....                               | 28                 |
| 4.0. Analysis Supporting the Rule.....                                 | 28                 |
| 4.1. Default Carbon Content Factors.....                               | 28                 |
| 4.1.1 Default Petroleum Product Carbon Content Factor Uncertainties .. | 28                 |
| 4.1.2. Petroleum Products .....  | 33                 |
| 4.1.3. Natural Gas Liquids .....                                       | 42                 |
| 4.1.4. Biomass Feedstock and Products .....                            | 44                 |
| 4.2. Direct Measurement Methods for Establishing Carbon Content.....   | 48                 |
| 4.2.1. Direct Density Measurements .....                               | 48                 |
| 4.2.2. Direct Carbon Share Measurements .....                          | 49                 |
| 4.3. Threshold Calculations.....                                       | 49                 |
| 4.3.1. Refineries .....  | 49                 |
| 4.3.2. Importers .....   | 62                 |
| 4.3.3. Exporters .....   | 69                 |
| 4.4. Monitoring Method Costs .....                                     | 69                 |

## List of Exhibits

---

|  | <b><u>Page</u></b> |
|--|--------------------|
| Exhibit 1: 2006 Petroleum Share of Primary Energy Consumption.....                                     | 4                  |
| Exhibit 2: Refinery Numbers and Operating Capacity.....  | 4                  |
| Exhibit 3: Crude Oil Imports into the United States by API Gravity .....                               | 5                  |
| Exhibit 4: Imports of Products into the United States, February 2008.....                              | 5                  |
| Exhibit 5: Flow Diagram of the Petroleum Industry.....   | 6                  |
| Exhibit 6: Twenty Largest Producers of Crude Oil in the United States in 2006.....                     | 7                  |
| Exhibit 7: Refinery Capacity in the Top 5 States.....  | 9                  |
| Exhibit 8: Refinery Capacity of the Top 10 Refining Companies.....                                     | 9                  |
| Exhibit 9: Quantity of Crude Oil imported by PADD.....   | 12                 |
| Exhibit 10: Crude Oil imports by Top 5 Countries of Origin and by PADD .....                           | 13                 |
| Exhibit 11: Imports of Finished Products by PADD.....  | 15                 |
| Exhibit 12: Consumption of Petroleum Products for Non-Energy Uses .....                                | 16                 |
| Exhibit 13: 2006 Non-Energy use Petroleum Product Consumption, Storage and<br>Emissions.....           | 17                 |
| Exhibit 14: Calculation of Default Values for all Refined and Semi Refined Petroleum<br>Products. .... | 34                 |
| Exhibit 15: Emission Factors for Natural Gas Liquids.....  | 43                 |
| Exhibit 16: Renewable Diesel Co-processing By Thermal Depolymerization.....                            | 44                 |
| Exhibit 17: Emission Factors for the Bio Portion of Renewable Diesel.....                              | 45                 |
| Exhibit 18: Composition of Animal Fat and Vegetable Oil.....   | 46                 |
| Exhibit 19: Refinery Blending of Biodiesel with Fossil Diesel.....                                     | 47                 |
| Exhibit 20: Calculated CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Factor.....  | 57                 |
| Exhibit 21: Estimated National CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions from Refineries .....                         | 61                 |
| Exhibit 22: Calculated CO <sub>2</sub> Emission Factor.....  | 62                 |
| Exhibit 23: Calculated CO <sub>2</sub> (tonnes/year) Emissions per Importer .....                      | 63                 |
| Exhibit 24: Threshold Analysis for Importers .....   | 68                 |
| Exhibit 25: Refinery Monitoring and Reporting Costs .....  | 70                 |
| Exhibit 26: Refinery Unit Cost for Monitoring and Reporting.....                                       | 71                 |

## **1.0. Introduction**

### **1.1. Purpose**

This document provides an overview of the petroleum industry and identifies the current federal reporting requirements of fuel suppliers, namely suppliers of petroleum products, whether refiners or importers. The analysis here is part of a larger effort to develop guidelines for mandatory reporting requirements for greenhouse gases (GHGs). In December 2007, Congress enacted an omnibus appropriations bill that directs EPA to develop and publish a rule requiring measurement and reporting of GHG emissions above appropriate thresholds in all sectors of the economy. The bill mandates that EPA publish a proposed rule within nine months and a final rule within 18 months. Understanding the information that fuel suppliers already generate and report to federal agencies is a first step in developing mandatory GHG reporting requirements.

This document focuses on firms in the petroleum industry, particularly petroleum refiners, and the various players that import petroleum products. The emphasis is on the generation of reports about volumes of petroleum products produced at U.S. refineries and petroleum product imports. The report also addresses the level of detail of data, facility definitions and boundaries, frequency of reporting, validation of reported data, and how data gaps are managed. The report presents information on the coverage of the data that are reported, key gaps in the data, business sensitivity of the data, and questions about data verification and quality assurance and control. Finally, the report discusses a number of relevant and critical aspects of the rule making such as the carbon content of petroleum products, the question of threshold, and the costs associated with monitoring and/or measuring the carbon content of products and provides information on the calculations and assumptions underlying these aspects of the rule.

Throughout the document petroleum refineries and corporate entities are mentioned by name. All data and all name references are drawn from data and reports in the public domain. While a number of sources are used the main source is the web site of the Energy Information Administration (EIA) of the U.S. Department of Energy.

### **1.2. Organization of this Report**

To provide context for the reporting requirements of the petroleum sector, section 2 provides an overview of the industry and the role that petroleum plays in the total energy consumption of the United States. The focus is on the petroleum refining portion of the industry and on petroleum imports but summary information about other major players in the industry: producers, pipelines/terminals, and distributors is also provided. The survey of the industry begins with a statistical summary of refineries, their capacity, and their concentration, both geographic and economic. This is followed by a discussion of the petroleum industry participants, with brief discussions of each, focusing on the types of information generated in both the natural course of business as well as information developed for and reported to federal government agencies. The information typically reported to state government agencies is also identified. Included in this section is a brief description of the non-energy petroleum products such as petrochemical feedstocks, asphalt and road oil, among others.

Section 3a is where the current reporting requirements of the industry are described. It is divided into three subsections. The first address petroleum refineries, the second imports/exports, and the final subsection briefly discusses other federal sources of data.

In Section 3b, conclusions about overall gaps in the reporting requirements are reported, as well as other issues relevant to data coverage. Quality control and reliability of the data reported are also briefly addressed. Also included is a section on the data that the industry considers most sensitive. Finally Section 4 includes a discussion on the carbon factors for petroleum products natural gas liquids, and biomass and presents the default table of carbon factors along with the calculations, the sources and the methodology. The calculations supporting the rule in the area of threshold analysis are presented next, and the estimated costs.

## **2.0. Overview of the Petroleum Industry**

### **2.1. The Role of Petroleum in the Economy**

The United States is currently the third largest producer of crude oil in the world following Saudi Arabia and Russia. In 2006 the United States produced 8,330 thousand barrels per day (Mb/d) of total oil and 5,102 Mb/d of crude oil. The difference between the two numbers represents production of lease condensate and natural gas liquids as well as refinery gain, which in the United States ranges between six and seven percent on average. The EIA maintains a historical data set which shows that the long term trend is a steady decline in volume of production, although there have been some years of increased production as when Prudhoe Bay came on line and with the advent of the deepwater Gulf of Mexico production.

Crude oil production is surprisingly widespread and can be found in the majority of the states. The other notable fact about U.S. production is that of the almost 500,000 producing oil wells (EIA 2007) in the United States over 84 percent are classified as stripper wells<sup>1</sup>. However, the main and larger producers are found in PADD<sup>2</sup> 3 (Texas and Louisiana) and PADD 5 (California and Alaska).

On the demand side the United States is the world's largest consumer of petroleum, consuming 20,687 Mb/d in 2006. This amounts to approximately 39.8 quadrillion Btus or Quads. The difference between the consumption and the production number, even taking into account refinery gain<sup>3</sup> is an indication of the degree of U.S. dependence on imports. Another important fact is that of the petroleum products consumed in the

---

<sup>1</sup> Stripper wells are defined as marginal wells reaching the end of their economic life and producing between 5 to 15 barrels per day. (EIA)

<sup>2</sup> PADD =Petroleum Administration for Defense District, the administrative divisions of the country by which most petroleum data are reported. PADD 1 is the East Coast, PADD 2 is the Midwest, PADD 3 is the Gulf Coast, PADD 4 is the Rockies, and PADD 5 is the West Coast. There is also a PADD 6 which consists of the U.S. Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico, and a PADD 7 which consists of the Pacific Territories. A map of the main 5 PADDs is attached at the end of this document.

<sup>3</sup> Refinery gain is the volumetric increase in the total amount of product produced at a refinery compared to the inputs. Thus for 1 barrel of inputs output is 1.06 barrels. The level of the gain varies with the complexity of the refinery ranging from 1 percent at a topping plant to about 10 percent at a highly complex refinery.

United States over 71 percent are transportation fuels of various types (gasolines, on-road diesels, marine and locomotive diesels, aviation fuels, and bunkers).

Petroleum accounts for almost 40 percent of United States primary energy consumption, still by far the largest of the energy forms (EIA, 2006). Exhibit 1 shows the breakdown of primary energy consumption by energy form.

There are currently 150<sup>4</sup> active petroleum refineries in the United States sited in all 5 PADDs but the bulk of them are situated in the Gulf Coast and on the West Coast, the main producing areas. Looking back to the 1970s there were then over 300 refineries. However, many of those were small inefficient refineries that were opened largely because of various subsidies and crude oil price controls. The 1980s and 1990s saw a long period of refinery closings and consolidations as subsidies were ended and price controls lifted. This elimination of small, simple, and inefficient refineries and its effect is reflected by the fact that although the number of refineries has declined markedly, the atmospheric distillation capacity of the remaining U.S. refineries has steadily increased (See Exhibit 2). Petroleum refineries are extremely capital intensive, technologically sophisticated facilities with very strong economies of scale. However, small refineries can still function well in the United States if they have a captive market or produce high value added products.


The increasing complexity of the product specifications and the increasing deterioration of the world crude oil slate<sup>5</sup> have led to major investment in equipment that has made U.S. refineries among the most complex in the world and able to deal effectively with the worst types of crude oils (which are also the cheapest). The down side of this is that these refineries have more intensive processing, use more energy, and thus have higher emissions.

---

<sup>4</sup> As of January 1, 2008, EIA

<sup>5</sup> Over the past decade the global crude oil slate has become increasingly heavier and higher in sulfur which requires more intense processing to produce the clean fuels required in many countries. This is a long term trend although there are periods in which it has been temporarily reversed such as from the growth of Angolan crude oil which is generally light and low in sulfur.

### Exhibit 1: 2006 Petroleum Share of Primary Energy Consumption



Source: Energy Information Administration (EIA), *Annual Energy Review 2006 – U.S. Primary Energy Consumption by Source and Sector, 2006*

### Exhibit 2: Refinery Numbers and Operating Capacity Mb/d

|            | 2003   | 2004   | 2005   | 2006   | 2007   |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PADD 1     | 1,571  | 1,663  | 1,638  | 1,627  | 1,658  |
|            | 13     | 14     | 13     | 13     | 13     |
| PADD 2     | 3,518  | 3,526  | 3,569  | 3,583  | 3,582  |
|            | 26     | 26     | 26     | 26     | 25     |
| PADD 3     | 7,708  | 7,881  | 8,068  | 7,464  | 7,990  |
|            | 54     | 54     | 53     | 52     | 55     |
| PADD 4     | 578    | 582    | 588    | 596    | 598    |
|            | 16     | 16     | 16     | 16     | 16     |
| PADD 5     | 3,109  | 3,107  | 3,144  | 3,152  | 3,171  |
|            | 36     | 36     | 36     | 35     | 36     |
| U.S. Total | 16,484 | 16,759 | 17,006 | 16,421 | 16,998 |
|            | 145    | 146    | 144    | 142    | 145    |

Source: [www.eia.doe.gov/oil\\_gas/petroleum/info\\_glance/petroleum.html](http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/info_glance/petroleum.html)

Exhibit 3 shows some of the range of crude oil imports into the United States by API gravity. As the exhibit shows the largest percentage of crude oil types that are imported into the United States fall into the heavy oil category, (<25 API gravity). At the other end of the scale, a small amount of Algerian condensate is imported into Louisiana for a few specialized refineries.

**Exhibit 3: Crude Oil Imports into the United States by API Gravity  
Percentage of Total Crude oil Imports**

| API Gravity     | August 07 | September 07 | October 07 | November 07 | December 07 | January 08 |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 20.0 or less    | 13.26     | 13.56        | 12.83      | 10.01       | 13.38       | 13.41      |
| 20.1 -25.0      | 20.84     | 19.83        | 21.3       | 26.17       | 23.38       | 29.05      |
| 25.1 -30.0      | 13.06     | 14.31        | 13.26      | 11.7        | 7.22        | 10.86      |
| 30.1 -35.0      | 26.58     | 28.44        | 28.04      | 30.17       | 30.08       | 25.03      |
| 35.1 -40.0      | 17.47     | 18.05        | 18.8       | 15.67       | 15.84       | 15.93      |
| 40.1 -45.0      | 6.48      | 5.37         | 4.08       | 4.56        | 6.54        | 4.24       |
| 45.1 or greater | 2.29      | 0.44         | 1.69       | 1.73        | 3.56        | 1.48       |

Source: [http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/nav/pet/pet\\_move\\_ipct\\_k\\_m.htm](http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/nav/pet/pet_move_ipct_k_m.htm)

The United States imports refined products and blending products: the number has hovered around 2 MMb/d for many years, sometime more, sometimes less. However, product imports are expected to climb over the next decade. Exhibit 4 lists imported finished products and imported gasoline blending components for a representative month, February 2008. The import portfolio includes a full range of finished products; however it is biased towards transportation fuels as the latter approximates 45 percent.<sup>6</sup> Transportation fuels are broken out into a number of categories. Gasoline imports are distinguished by whether they are reformulated or conventional and then they are further distinguished by any additives such as an oxygenate. Distillate fuel oil and residual fuel oil are reported by sulphur category. Petrochemicals are reported by whether they are naphtha based or otherwise.

**Exhibit 4: Imports of Products into the United States, February 2008,  
Mb/d**

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| Finished Motor Gasoline (5 categories)            | 354         |
| Aviation Fuels (3 categories)                     | 101         |
| Kerosene  | 2           |
| Distillate Fuel Oil (4 categories)                | 248         |
| Residual Fuel Oil (3 categories)                  | 308         |
| Petrochemical Feedstocks (2 categories)           | 171         |
| Special Naphthas                                  | 14          |
| Lubricants  | 27          |
| Waxes   | 3           |
| Petroleum Coke (Marketable)                       | 11          |
| Asphalt and Road Oil                              | 34          |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>1273</b> |
| Motor Gasoline Blending Components (6 categories) | 657         |

Source: EIA, *Petroleum Supply Monthly*, April 2008


Exhibit 5 is a flow diagram of the petroleum industry focused around refining. The diagram shows something of the complexity of the movements and the interrelationship with other sectors.

<sup>6</sup> Finished Motor Gasoline 354 Mb/d, Aviation Fuels 101 Mb/d, and that portion of the Distillates that is ULSD 124 Mb/d.



Exhibit 5: Flow Diagram of the Petroleum Industry

Petroleum Flow Diagram  
2006 Annual Volumes in Thousand Barrels



## 2.2. Structure of the Petroleum Industry

This section describes the operating components of the petroleum industry.

**Producers.** These are the companies that explore, drill, and produce petroleum, and in many cases, natural gas in the United States. There were approximately 13,820 operators of 497,403 oil wells in 2006. These operators range from large integrated producers with worldwide operations and interests in all segments of the oil and gas industry, to large independents, to small one or two person operations that may only have partial interest in a single well. The twenty largest producers in 2006 are shown in Exhibit 6. The 10 largest producers accounted for 2,669 Mb/d in 2006 or 53 percent of total crude oil production while the largest 20 producers accounted for 62 percent. The top 50 producers accounted for 75 percent. The remaining 13,770 accounted for the last 25 percent of crude oil production (EIA, 2006). Attached at the end of this paper is a list of the top 200 producers.

The three largest producing states are Texas (21 %), Alaska (15 %), and California (12 %). In addition, 25 percent of U.S. production comes from the Federal offshore in the Gulf of Mexico.

**Exhibit 6: Twenty Largest Producers of Crude Oil in the United States in 2006**

| Rank                            | Company Name                       | Volume (Mb/d) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1                               | BP Plc                             | 586           |
| 2                               | Chevron Corporation                | 450           |
| 3                               | ConocoPhillips Co                  | 401           |
| 4                               | Shell Oil Co                       | 305           |
| 5                               | Occidental Petroleum Corporation   | 285           |
| 6                               | Aera Energy LLC                    | 188           |
| 7                               | Anadarko Petroleum Corporation     | 183           |
| 8                               | ExxonMobil Corporation             | 131           |
| 9                               | Apache Corporation                 | 81            |
| 10                              | Plains Exploration & Production Co | 59            |
| <b>Total</b>                    |                                    | <b>2,669</b>  |
| <b>Percentage of U.S. Total</b> |                                    | <b>53%</b>    |
| 11                              | Kinder Morgan Energy Partners      | 57            |
| 12                              | Amerada Hess Corporation           | 57            |
| 13                              | Dominion Resources Inc.            | 54            |
| 14                              | Noble Energy Inc.                  | 52            |
| 15                              | Marathon Oil Co.                   | 47            |
| 16                              | Merit Energy Co                    | 46            |
| 17                              | Murphy Oil Corporation             | 43            |
| 18                              | XTO Energy Inc                     | 40            |
| 19                              | Devon Energy Corporation           | 38            |
| 20                              | EOG Resources Inc                  | 37            |
| <b>Total</b>                    |                                    | <b>3,140</b>  |
| <b>Percentage of U.S. Total</b> |                                    | <b>62 %</b>   |

Source; EIA 2006

Producers create and maintain extensive and accurate records on petroleum production in the normal course of business. Particular attention is paid to the lease meter, because it is at that point that royalty payments are calculated. Royalty payments must be made to landowners and other well partners. State severance taxes require the submission to state agencies of production data and sales. Federal royalty payments are made to the land management agencies and to the Minerals Management Service for offshore outer continental shelf production. At the same time, producers are excused from having to file data regularly with the Energy Information Administration (EIA). EIA's reports on production come from data collected from state agencies. State agencies are the central repositories for production data. EIA does collect sample data from producers in EIA-231 and EIA-23S, *Annual Survey of Domestic Oil & Gas Reserves*. EIA-231 is sent to a sample of large and mid size operators who report data on the field level, while EIA-23S is sent to a sample of small operators who report data on the state or geographic level. Although the focus of the forms is on reserves the survey recipients are required to report on oil, gas, and lease condensate production for the appropriate year.

**Gathering Pipelines.** These are pipelines that collect petroleum from wellheads in a branch and trunk system and deliver the crude oil into either a refinery or a trunk line that then moves the crude oil to a refinery. There are about 14,911<sup>7</sup> miles of crude oil gathering pipelines in the United States. They may be owned by the producer or the processing plant, or the affiliate of a trunk line or an independent gathering business. They charge a fee for the service where fees are negotiated between the producer and the gathering pipeline.

Gathering pipelines measure the crude oil they transport and thus have extensive records on current levels of throughput. However, only if they move over 1,000 barrels are they required to file their data with EIA in EIA-813 *Monthly Crude Oil Report*. They also must file reports with the Department of Transportation (DOT), Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), Office of Pipeline Safety (OPS). These are relative to siting, routing, and safety issues. Gathering systems may also report to federal land management agencies and state land use agencies.

**Petroleum Refiners.** There are currently 150 operating petroleum refineries in the United States with a capacity of 17,000 Mb/d. As Exhibit 2 above shows capacity has been steadily increasing both at the national and at the PADD level. A new refinery has not been built in the United States for over 30 years and U.S. refiners have responded by expanding existing refineries, which is still difficult but has proved easier in terms of local permits.

A distinct characteristic of the refining sector is the high level of concentration, both geographic and economic. The geographic concentration is historic and relates to the pattern of crude oil production in the country. The economic concentration relates in part to the high capital costs and the economies of scale in refining technology. As an example unless an individual refinery has a captive market a hydrocracker would not be installed in a refinery of less than 100,000 b/d capacity.

Exhibit 7 shows the geographic concentration of refining and Exhibit 8 shows the economic concentration.

---

<sup>7</sup> *Oil & Gas Journal*, Volume 10633, September 1, 2008

**Exhibit 7: Refinery Capacity in the Top 5 States****Mb/d**

|                                 | 2003          | 2004          | 2005          | 2006          | 2007          |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1. <b>Texas</b>                 | 4,329         | 4,468         | 4,628         | 4,241         | 4,337         |
| 2. <b>Louisiana</b>             | 2,719         | 2,753         | 2,773         | 2,534         | 2,971         |
| 3. <b>California</b>            | 1,990         | 1,984         | 2,005         | 2,005         | 2,022         |
| 4. <b>Illinois</b>              | 878           | 878           | 896           | 904           | 904           |
| 5. <b>Pennsylvania</b>          | 760           | 760           | 770           | 770           | 773           |
| <b>Total</b>                    | <b>10,676</b> | <b>10,843</b> | <b>11,072</b> | <b>10,454</b> | <b>11,007</b> |
| <b>Percentage of U.S. Total</b> | <b>65%</b>    | <b>65%</b>    | <b>65%</b>    | <b>64%</b>    | <b>65%</b>    |

Source: EIA

As Exhibit 7 shows 65 percent of the refining capacity in the United States is concentrated in 5 states, all of which constitute the historic production centres of the country.

**Exhibit 8: Refinery Capacity of the Top 10 Refining Companies****Mb/d**

|    | 2003                    | 2004                        | 2005                        | 2006                        | 2007                        |
|----|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1  | ExxonMobil<br>1,808 11% | ConocoPhillips<br>2,186 13% | ConocoPhillips<br>2,198 13% | Valero<br>2,195 13%         | Valero<br>2,219 13%         |
| 2  | Phillips<br>1,711 10%   | ExxonMobil<br>1,844 11%     | Valero<br>2,108 12%         | ConocoPhillips<br>1,983 12% | ExxonMobil<br>1,862 11%     |
| 3  | BP<br>1,502 9%          | Valero<br>1,696 10%         | ExxonMobil<br>1,847 11%     | ExxonMobil<br>1,860 11%     | ConocoPhillips<br>1,779 10% |
| 4  | Valero<br>1,317 8%      | BP<br>1,505 9%              | BP<br>1,505 9%              | BP<br>1,039 6%              | BP<br>1,249 7%              |
| 5  | Chevron<br>999 6%       | Chevron<br>1,007 6%         | Chevron<br>1,007 6%         | Chevron<br>1,012 6%         | Chevron<br>1012 6%          |
| 6  | Marathon<br>935 6%      | Marathon<br>935 6%          | Marathon<br>948 6%          | Marathon<br>974 6%          | Marathon<br>974 6%          |
| 7  | Motiva<br>880 5%        | Motiva<br>887 5%            | Sunoco<br>900 5%            | Sunoco<br>900 5%            | Sunoco<br>903 5%            |
| 8  | Sunoco<br>730 4%        | Sunoco<br>740 4%            | Koch Industries<br>763 4%   | PDV America<br>785 5%       | Koch Industries<br>777 5%   |
| 9  | Shell<br>669 4%         | PDV America<br>640 4%       | Motiva<br>747 4%            | Koch Industries<br>777 5%   | Motiva<br>762 4%            |
| 10 | Conoco<br>566 3%        | Shell<br>574 3%             | PDV America<br>640 4%       | Motiva<br>747 5%            | PDV America<br>753 4%       |

Source: EIA

The top ten refining companies currently control 72 percent of the refining capacity in the country. For the last two years the list has been topped by the large independent, Valero, which is a refining company only.

As the flow diagram (Exhibit 5) shows, refineries sit between production and consumption, but also, to some extent between natural gas processing plants and consumption. They are among the largest of the industrial energy users, using their own products, including still gas, as well as purchased natural gas and, in some places coal. Refinery consumption of electricity, steam and hydrogen is somewhat more complicated as they both purchase these products from outside the fence and self generate them.

Traditionally their purchased natural gas was used both for energy and as a feedstock for the hydrogen plant. However, Air Liquide has now built a large pipe ring in Houston through which it provides hydrogen to the Houston refineries that have, in most cases, closed down their own hydrogen plants. Trade Journals have been discussing the possibility of a similar effort by Air Liquide on the West Coast.

Increasingly refineries are also integrating with petrochemical plants. In many cases the ethylene cracker is now built among the refinery processing units so that the feedstock can swing from natural gas to naphtha to gasoil depending on the relative prices.

Refineries are among the industrial facilities that have to provide the most data to federal and state officials. They are also closely monitored for safety by both OSHA and the relevant state agencies and are closely monitored for criteria pollutants and toxic emissions. Depending on their location (whether or not a residential area has grown up around them) they may or may not continuously monitor all emissions going over the fence. Refineries provide detailed information to EIA on a monthly and annual basis largely in EIA-810 *Monthly Refinery Report* and EIA-820 *Annual Refinery Report*. These reports are mandatory and required from every refinery in the country with no exceptions. There are a plethora of other reports that they file related to their imports, to their sales, and to their stocks which are discussed in Section 3. Refineries are also required to report detailed information to state agencies. California and Texas in particular maintain substantial data on refineries.

**Trunk Pipelines.** These are the large diameter systems that move crude oil from producing regions to refineries or from import terminals to refineries. Not all crude oil moves through these lines as this is dependent on the location of the refinery vis-à-vis the source of crude oil. For example there are refineries in the coastal regions that receive their imported crude oil directly from tankers that off load at the refinery's own docks.

These pipelines are also the large diameter product lines that move refinery products to consumers or product imports to final consumers. Crude oil lines and product lines are completely separate. There is one line in the country that occasionally batches crude oil and products but it is the exception. Both the crude and the product lines can be intrastate or interstate.

As of 2007 there were 46,658 miles of crude oil trunk lines in the United States and about 85,666<sup>8</sup> miles of product lines. Major pipeline companies include Colonial Pipeline Co., Enbridge Energy LP, Marathon Pipeline LLC and Explorer Pipeline Co. Each of these companies owns several major interstate pipelines and are the top four petroleum lines in terms of trunkline traffic<sup>9</sup>.

The major components of pipelines include the receipt and delivery meters, pump stations, and the pipe itself. Product pipelines in particular can have many receipt point meters where products are loaded up into the pipeline directly from refineries. The delivery point meters measure deliveries to other pipelines, storage, and large end users. Pipelines must operate to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries on

---

<sup>8</sup> *Ibid*

<sup>9</sup> *Oil & Gas Journal*, September 3, 2007

a daily, monthly, and annual basis. Shippers' bills are based on these meter readings and over the course of a year are reasonably accurate

Both crude and product pipelines submit information to EIA. The crude lines report on EIA-813 *Monthly Crude Oil Report* and all the product lines report on EIA-812 *Product Pipeline Report*. Product pipelines also report to EIA weekly on EIA 802-*Weekly Product Pipelines Report*. However, the product pipelines reports apply ONLY to movements between PADDs. As mentioned above crude oil lines are only obliged to file if they move more than 1,000 barrels. Both product and crude oil interstate lines are required to report to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) annually, U.S. FERC *Form 6: Annual Report of Oil Pipeline Companies*. Intrastate lines are required to report to their relevant state agency.

**Marine Companies.** A substantial amount of crude oil, unfinished oils and products moves around by tanker and barge. There is considerable inter refinery trading of crude oil and unfinished oils particularly in the U.S. Gulf that moves by barge on the intercoastal waterway. It is not uncommon during non-scheduled events such as accidents or mechanical problems or scheduled events such as annual maintenance turnarounds for refiners to trade excess unfinished product.<sup>10</sup> Unfinished oils can also be traded if there is an imbalance between the atmospheric distillation tower and some of the downstream processing units. For example, if the refinery is producing more naphtha than it can process the option facing it are to either sell the naphtha on the open market or to trade it to another refinery – the decision will be made based on the relative prices. Inter-company shipments from one refinery to another have to be reported to EIA on the *Monthly Refinery Report* (EIA-810) and the *Annual Refinery Report* (EIA-820).

On the East coast, imports from overseas and domestic products from the south converge on New York City and are then distributed to New England and the Mid Atlantic by barge along the East Coast Inland waterway. During part of the year substantial volumes of products move up the Mississippi by barge. All inter-PADD movements by water are required to be reported to EIA in EIA-817 *Monthly Tanker and Barge Movements between PADDs*. In addition, all marine movements and details on the type and volume of cargo are tracked and reported by the Army Corps of Engineers on Forms ENG Form 3935 and ENG Form 3925B (Shallow draft barge and tow boat operators) unless the trip is under one mile. However, it is unlikely that inter refinery trades would be less than a mile.

**Terminal Operators.** There are a number of different terminal groupings. There are crude oil terminals which are usually owned by refining companies and serve as a way station for crude oil that is imported or brought long distances for their refineries. In terms of petroleum products there are terminals that are owned by refineries and a large number of independent terminals that store both domestic and imported products depending on their location. There are also at least 280 terminals at which additives, blending stock and biofuels are blended with gasoline.

---

<sup>10</sup> This trading goes on on a regular basis. For example the Hovensa refinery in the U.S. Virgin Islands sends large volumes of unfinished oils to a plant in Port Reading, New Jersey which does not have an atmospheric distillation tower but does have a vacuum distillation tower and other processing units.

There are two sets of reports that terminal operators have to make to EIA, Bulk Terminals must report weekly on EIA-801 *Weekly Bulk Terminal Report* and monthly on EIA-811 *Monthly Bulk Terminal Report*. Specialized terminals, where gasoline blending takes place, report weekly on EIA-805 *Weekly Terminal Blenders Report* and monthly on EIA-815 *Monthly Terminal Blenders Report*. In addition, all bulk terminals and bulk carriers (pipeline and marine) are required to file information on their product volumes and movements to the IRS under the Excise Summary Terminal Reporting System (ExSTARS) program. This is a program that tracks all products that come into and out of bulk terminals and also tracks the destination of the products once they leave the terminal.

**Importers.** Substantial volumes of petroleum imports reach the United States. There is, however, a difference in both the pattern and players between crude oil imports and product imports. Most crude oil imports (and unfinished oils) are imported by the oil companies, with an occasional trader making an appearance. The crude oil comes in either directly to the refiner's marine terminal or to the terminals of the major crude oil pipelines such as Capline. Canadian crude oil enters the northern tier of the United States by pipeline, with Eastern Canadian offshore crude oil moving to the East Coast refiners by tanker. Crude oil is only used by refiners. Finished products on the other hand, can come in wherever there is a terminal with the offloading and tankage requirements. The universe of importers is different as well. Year to year it can vary considerable. The marketing arms of the oil companies import products, as do traders, petrochemical/chemical companies, propane distributors, ethanol companies, utilities on the East Coast, and there have even been times in the past during bad winters when states and local governments have directly imported heating oil.

There is a good deal more information about the origin of the crude oil that is imported into the United States than is known about refined products imports. Exhibit 9 shows the quantity of foreign crude oil imported into the 5 PADDs and Exhibit 10 shows the top 5 countries of origin. Exhibit 11 shows imports of products into the 5 PADDs.

**Exhibit 9: Quantity of Crude Oil imported by PADD  
Million barrels and % of Total Crude Used**

|                       | 2003  | 2004  | 2005  | 2006  | 2007  |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| <b>PADD 1</b>         | 579   | 567   | 586   | 547   | 543   |
|                       | 98.9% | 99.2% | 99.1% | 98.9% | 99.2% |
| <b>PADD 2</b>         | 349   | 391   | 367   | 412   | 410   |
|                       | 68.9% | 71.9% | 70.4% | 71.9% | 71.1% |
| <b>PADD 3</b>         | 2,156 | 2,284 | 2,236 | 2,187 | 2,164 |
|                       | 65.0% | 67.4% | 68.6% | 67.9% | 67.5% |
| <b>PADD 4</b>         | 120   | 111   | 121   | 119   | 120   |
|                       | 53.3% | 49.7% | 49.5% | 47.8% | 47.9% |
| <b>PADD 5</b>         | 323   | 339   | 386   | 428   | 419   |
|                       | 33.7% | 36.1% | 40.3% | 45.2% | 45.2% |
| <b>U.S.<br/>Total</b> | 3,528 | 3,692 | 3,696 | 3,693 | 3,656 |
|                       | 63.0% | 65.2% | 66.3% | 66.6% | 66.4% |
| Source: EIA           |       |       |       |       |       |

**Exhibit 10: Crude Oil imports by Top 5 Countries of Origin and by PADD  
MMBbls**

| PADD 1      | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1           | Nigeria<br>127       | Nigeria<br>159      | Nigeria<br>172      | Nigeria<br>162      | Nigeria<br>145      |
| 2           | Angola<br>82         | Canada<br>72        | Canada<br>78        | Canada<br>77        | Canada<br>93        |
| 3           | Saudi Arabia<br>78   | Saudi Arabia<br>63  | Saudi Arabia<br>68  | Saudi Arabia<br>67  | Saudi Arabia<br>60  |
| 4           | Canada<br>77         | Angola<br>58        | Venezuela<br>57     | Venezuela<br>56     | Venezuela<br>54     |
| 5           | United Kingdom<br>45 | Venezuela<br>43     | Angola<br>53        | Angola<br>54        | Angola<br>38        |
| PADD 2      | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
| 1           | Canada<br>331        | Canada<br>369       | Canada<br>358       | Canada<br>411       | Canada<br>409       |
| 2           | Nigeria<br>9         | Nigeria<br>14       | Angola<br>3         |                     |                     |
| 3           | United Kingdom<br>2  | Colombia<br>3       | Colombia<br>2       |                     |                     |
| 4           | Saudi Arabia<br>2    | Angola<br>2         | Nigeria<br>2        |                     |                     |
| 5           | Norway<br>2          | United Kingdom<br>1 | Norway<br>1         |                     |                     |
| PADD 3      | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
| 1           | Mexico<br>538        | Mexico<br>555       | Mexico<br>540       | Mexico<br>553       | Mexico<br>498       |
| 2           | Saudi Arabia<br>458  | Venezuela<br>430    | Venezuela<br>392    | Venezuela<br>356    | Saudi Arabia<br>373 |
| 3           | Venezuela<br>400     | Saudi Arabia<br>390 | Saudi Arabia<br>341 | Saudi Arabia<br>345 | Venezuela<br>360    |
| 4           | Nigeria<br>167       | Nigeria<br>221      | Nigeria<br>217      | Nigeria<br>215      | Nigeria<br>244      |
| 5           | Iraq<br>132          | Iraq<br>182         | Iraq<br>152         | Iraq<br>142         | Algeria<br>131      |
| PADD 4      | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
| 1           | Canada<br>120        | Canada<br>111       | Canada<br>121       | Canada<br>118       | Canada<br>120       |
| PADD 5      | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
| 1           | Saudi Arabia<br>92   | Saudi Arabia<br>93  | Saudi Arabia<br>115 | Saudi Arabia<br>105 | Saudi Arabia<br>93  |
| 2           | Ecuador<br>39        | Iraq<br>57          | Ecuador<br>69       | Ecuador<br>72       | Iraq<br>60          |
| 3           | Iraq<br>38           | Ecuador<br>51       | Iraq<br>39          | Iraq<br>59          | Ecuador<br>56       |
| 4           | Canada<br>24         | Canada<br>32        | Canada<br>32        | Canada<br>38        | Canada<br>46        |
| 5           | Argentina<br>20      | Argentina<br>19     | Mexico<br>20        | Angola<br>24        | Angola<br>33        |
| U.S. Total  | 2003                 | 2004                | 2005                | 2006                | 2007                |
| 1           | Saudi Arabia<br>630  | Canada<br>591       | Canada<br>596       | Canada<br>655       | Canada<br>679       |
| 2           | Mexico<br>573        | Mexico<br>585       | Mexico<br>567       | Mexico<br>576       | Saudi Arabia<br>526 |
| 3           | Canada<br>566        | Saudi Arabia<br>547 | Saudi Arabia<br>525 | Saudi Arabia<br>517 | Mexico<br>514       |
| 4           | Venezuela<br>432     | Venezuela<br>475    | Venezuela<br>451    | Venezuela<br>417    | Venezuela<br>419    |
| 5           | Nigeria<br>304       | Nigeria<br>395      | Nigeria<br>393      | Nigeria<br>379      | Nigeria<br>395      |
| Source: EIA |                      |                     |                     |                     |                     |



Importers of foreign crude oil and products file a number of different reports with EIA:

- EIA 814 *Monthly Imports Report* – crude oil and products
- EIA 804 *Weekly Imports Report* – crude oil and products
- EIA 856 *Monthly Foreign Crude Oil Acquisition Report*—companies importing more than 500 MB per month
- EIA 14 – *Refiner's Monthly Cost Report* – crude oil only.

EIA also puts the raw import data on its website (*Company Imports* from EIA-814).

Crude oil imports are reported by batch, by volume, by sulphur content and API gravity, by country of origin, by importing refinery, and by using refinery should that be different. Using this data one can determine what crude oils are being imported by what refinery. However, in terms of the quality a certain amount of care must be exercised. Many countries export what they call an “export blend” which is composed of a combined stream of crude oils. The component crude oils and their proportions can change over time, thus changing the quality of the crude imported.

Product imports are reported by country of origin and in a few cases (for motor gasoline blending components and unfinished oils) the user and the place of use are reported. However, in most cases all that is known is the port of entry and the port of origin. In many cases there is no way of further tracing the product. Product may be drawn down from stocks in Rotterdam and, if the product is fungible, be mixed with similar products from numerous sources.

Note that refiners that export products are required to file Form 7525-V *Shipper's Export Declaration* with the Department of Commerce.

**Exhibit 11: Imports of Finished Products by PADD**  
**MMBbls**

| <b>PADD 1</b>                                   | <b>2003</b> | <b>2004</b> | <b>2005</b> | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| All gasoline (including blending components)    | 285.3       | 306.6       | 337.6       | 355.9       | 354.5       |
| Distillate fuel oil, <= 15 ppm sulfur           | 0.0         | 7.9         | 0.6         | 30.4        | 46.9        |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 15 ppm <= 500 ppm sulfur | 42.1        | 33.5        | 45.4        | 19.9        | 2.1         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 500 ppm sulfur           | 70.8        | 61.0        | 60.2        | 58.9        | 35.6        |
| Kerosene and kerosene-type jet fuel             | 26.2        | 18.6        | 39.0        | 34.8        | 36.6        |
| Residual fuel oil, < 0.31% sulfur               | 14.7        | 24.6        | 28.4        | 6.3         | 12.0        |
| Residual fuel oil, 0.31-1.00% sulfur            | 30.7        | 42.9        | 48.9        | 21.4        | 14.6        |
| Residual fuel oil, > 1.00% sulfur               | 50.0        | 54.6        | 69.9        | 60.5        | 51.3        |
| Petrochemical feedstocks                        | 3.9         | 2.7         | 1.4         | 1.3         | 1.6         |
| All other petroleum products                    | 62.7        | 92.2        | 99.2        | 118.6       | 114.1       |
| <b>PADD 2</b>                                   | <b>2003</b> | <b>2004</b> | <b>2005</b> | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b> |
| All gasoline (including blending components)    | 0.7         | 0.7         | 1.0         | 0.3         | 0.8         |
| Distillate fuel oil, <= 15 ppm sulfur           | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.2         | 1.3         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 15 ppm <= 500 ppm sulfur | 2.1         | 1.8         | 1.1         | 1.7         | 0.6         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 500 ppm sulfur           | 0.6         | 0.7         | 0.4         | 0.4         | 0.3         |
| Kerosene and kerosene-type jet fuel             | 0.2         | 0.4         | 0.1         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Residual fuel oil, < 0.31% sulfur               | 0.1         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Residual fuel oil, 0.31-1.00% sulfur            | 0.6         | 0.8         | 1.1         | 0.8         | 1.4         |
| Residual fuel oil, > 1.00% sulfur               | 0.5         | 0.8         | 1.0         | 1.2         | 1.2         |
| Petrochemical feedstocks                        | 0.4         | 0.5         | 1.3         | 2.6         | 2.0         |
| All other petroleum products                    | 34.5        | 40.6        | 44.0        | 44.6        | 38.4        |
| <b>PADD 3</b>                                   | <b>2003</b> | <b>2004</b> | <b>2005</b> | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b> |
| All gasoline (including blending components)    | 17.4        | 16.2        | 46.3        | 40.7        | 32.3        |
| Distillate fuel oil, <= 15 ppm sulfur           | 0.0         | 1.1         | 0.0         | 3.6         | 2.7         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 15 ppm <= 500 ppm sulfur | 0.3         | 0.9         | 3.5         | 1.0         | 0.2         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 500 ppm sulfur           | 0.6         | 2.0         | 0.6         | 2.0         | 6.0         |
| Kerosene and kerosene-type jet fuel             | 0.3         | 0.2         | 6.1         | 2.6         | 1.4         |
| Residual fuel oil, < 0.31% sulfur               | 1.8         | 5.4         | 2.9         | 2.2         | 4.2         |
| Residual fuel oil, 0.31-1.00% sulfur            | 3.7         | 6.4         | 12.6        | 5.0         | 15.0        |
| Residual fuel oil, > 1.00% sulfur               | 4.2         | 7.8         | 13.0        | 13.3        | 23.2        |
| Petrochemical feedstocks                        | 80.8        | 103.1       | 110.4       | 107.0       | 84.6        |
| All other petroleum products                    | 136.7       | 183.6       | 230.4       | 251.1       | 222.8       |
| <b>PADD 4</b>                                   | <b>2003</b> | <b>2004</b> | <b>2005</b> | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b> |
| All gasoline (including blending components)    | 0.2         | 0.2         | 0.1         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Distillate fuel oil, <= 15 ppm sulfur           | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.4         | 2.0         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 15 ppm <= 500 ppm sulfur | 2.7         | 3.4         | 2.1         | 2.3         | 0.8         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 500 ppm sulfur           | 0.2         | 0.5         | 0.2         | 0.3         | 0.2         |
| Kerosene and kerosene-type jet fuel             | 0.1         | 0.2         | 0.2         | 0.2         | 0.1         |
| Residual fuel oil, < 0.31% sulfur               | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Residual fuel oil, 0.31-1.00% sulfur            | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Residual fuel oil, > 1.00% sulfur               | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| Petrochemical feedstocks                        | 0.0         | 0.3         | 0.0         | 0.0         | 0.0         |
| All other petroleum products                    | 3.3         | 4.2         | 3.9         | 5.4         | 5.0         |
| <b>PADD 5</b>                                   | <b>2003</b> | <b>2004</b> | <b>2005</b> | <b>2006</b> | <b>2007</b> |
| All gasoline (including blending components)    | 19.5        | 22.9        | 22.0        | 21.0        | 35.0        |
| Distillate fuel oil, <= 15 ppm sulfur           | 0.0         | 1.6         | 0.9         | 6.2         | 9.6         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 15 ppm <= 500 ppm sulfur | 2.1         | 4.0         | 3.2         | 3.1         | 1.3         |
| Distillate fuel oil, > 500 ppm sulfur           | 0.2         | 0.6         | 1.9         | 2.6         | 0.5         |
| Kerosene and kerosene-type jet fuel             | 15.2        | 27.9        | 26.6        | 31.7        | 42.2        |
| Residual fuel oil, < 0.31% sulfur               | 1.6         | 2.5         | 2.6         | 3.1         | 0.5         |
| Residual fuel oil, 0.31-1.00% sulfur            | 1.4         | 1.3         | 1.2         | 1.6         | 1.6         |
| Residual fuel oil, > 1.00% sulfur               | 10.2        | 9.0         | 11.7        | 12.5        | 10.4        |
| Petrochemical feedstocks                        | 0.2         | 0.0         | 0.1         | 0.8         | 0.6         |
| All other petroleum products                    | 19.7        | 22.7        | 26.7        | 30.4        | 31.6        |

Source: EIA

**Marketers.** Petroleum marketers purchase products either directly from refiners or indirectly from terminal operators. There are approximately 8,000 independent petroleum marketers in the country. Like all other sectors of the industry the last decade has brought increasing consolidation in this sector as well. The independent marketers do not submit reports to EIA. They are indirectly tracked through the refinery marketing reports in which refiners are required to report sales of products directly to end users and their sales to other marketers.

**Non-Energy Use of Petroleum Products.** The largest volume of petroleum products are combusted for energy, either as transportation fuels or as furnace or boiler fuels. Some products are consumed for non-energy uses. ICF has conducted an intensive study for non-energy uses for EPA's *Inventory of Greenhouse Gases and Sinks*.<sup>11</sup> The petroleum products consumed for non-energy use are shown in Exhibit 12.

**Exhibit 12: Consumption of Petroleum Products for Non-Energy Uses**

|                        | T Btu   |         |         |         |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                        | 2003    | 2004    | 2005    | 2006    |
| Asphalt & Road Oil     | 1,219.5 | 1,303.8 | 1,323.2 | 1,225.6 |
| Distillate Fuel Oil    | 11.7    | 11.7    | 11.7    | 11.7    |
| LPG                    | 1,437.8 | 1,436.7 | 1,442.0 | 1,491.8 |
| Lubricants             | 159.0   | 161.0   | 160.2   | 130.6   |
| Pentanes Plus          | 158.3   | 156.5   | 146.0   | 105.1   |
| Naphtha (<401 F)       | 573.4   | 687.9   | 678.6   | 592.9   |
| Other Oil (>401 F)     | 501.0   | 547.8   | 518.7   | 573.4   |
| Still Gas              | 59.0    | 63.5    | 67.7    | 122.3   |
| Petroleum Coke         | 76.9    | 161.3   | 145.0   | 178.7   |
| Special Naphtha        | 75.7    | 47.2    | 60.9    | 68.7    |
| Waxes                  | 31.0    | 30.8    | 31.4    | 25.2    |
| Miscellaneous Products | 126.0   | 113.4   | 112.8   | 133.2   |

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions occur from non-energy uses via several pathways. When a product is manufactured emissions may occur when producing plastics or rubber from petroleum derived feedstocks, for example. Emissions may also arise when a product is used, such as solvent use. Overall, looking at all non-energy uses of petroleum feedstocks about 62 percent of the carbon contained in the non-energy petroleum feedstocks is stored in the products with the remaining 38 percent emitted at various stages. Exhibit 13 shows the estimated carbon stored and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for 2006 of non-energy use of petroleum products in the United States. These emissions constituted less than 2 percent of overall fossil fuel emissions, a percentage that has not appreciably changed since 1990.

**Summary.** In every sector of the petroleum industry the flow of crude oil and petroleum is closely monitored since it is the source of revenue. Some of the data are reported to federal government and some to state governments. In every case, however, data are routinely collected, aggregated, and verified as the basis for executing sales and billing customers.

<sup>11</sup> <http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html>

**Exhibit 13: 2006 Non-Energy use Petroleum Product Consumption, Storage and Emissions**

|                        | <b>Non-Energy Use (TBtu)</b> | <b>Carbon Stored (Tg C)</b> | <b>Carbon Emissions (Tg C)</b> | <b>Carbon Emissions (Tg CO<sub>2</sub>)</b> |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Asphalt & Road Oil     | 1,225.6                      | 25.3                        | 0.0                            | 0.0   |
| Distillate Fuel Oil    | 11.7                         | 0.1                         | 0.1                            | 0.4   |
| LPG                    | 1,491.8                      | 15.4                        | 9.6                            | 35.3  |
| Lubricants             | 130.6                        | 0.2                         | 2.4                            | 8.8   |
| Pentanes Plus          | 105.1                        | 1.2                         | 0.7                            | 2.7   |
| Naphtha (<401 F)       | 592.9                        | 6.6                         | 4.1                            | 15.2  |
| Other Oil (>401 F)     | 573.4                        | 7.0                         | 4.4                            | 16.1  |
| Still Gas              | 122.3                        | 1.3                         | 0.8                            | 3.0   |
| Petroleum Coke         | 178.7                        | 2.5                         | 2.5                            | 9.1   |
| Special Naphtha        | 68.7                         | 0.8                         | 0.5                            | 1.9   |
| Waxes                  | 25.2                         | 0.3                         | 0.2                            | 0.8   |
| Miscellaneous Products | 133.2                        | 0.0                         | 2.7                            | 9.9   |

### ***3a.0. Industry Federal Reporting Requirements***

This section focuses on sectors identified as points of monitoring of petroleum: refining, imports, and exports. The following discussion is based on information gathered on current reporting requirements and presents a discussion of the reporting matrix spreadsheets compiled as background for the rule and attached at the end of the document. The discussion is focused on the reporting requirements most relevant to the determination of an accurate accounting of the flow of commodities through the nation's petroleum infrastructure.

Each sector is structured in a similar fashion: the key reporting obligations by agency and reporting form are discussed; the key questions EPA has identified for evaluating the suitability of the reporting requirement as a basis for the Agency's mandatory monitoring system are then discussed. These questions include:

- What is reported?
- Is the reporting tied to a facility or entity at a facility?
- What is the threshold for reporting?
- What is the frequency of reporting?
- How is the data developed?
- What are the verification/certification, QA/QC methods?
- How public is the information?
- Where are the gaps in sector coverage that would lead to un-accounted for volumes?

The summary matrices are included in the Appendix.

### 3a.1. Refineries

#### **Energy Information Administration**

EPA receives reports from refineries related to the specifications of transportation fuels, but the EIA is the only federal agency that receives extensive physical and financial information reports from refineries. Monthly and annual reports are required for all refineries, while weekly reports are required for a subset of refineries selected by the EIA; sampling procedure assures coverage of 90 percent of the data. The weekly report (EIA-800) includes only quantities and ending stocks for inputs and products. The monthly report (EIA-810) includes information on refinery input and capacity, sulfur content and API gravity of crude oil, and detailed stock information on a comprehensive list of inputs and products. It should be noted that for the weekly and monthly reports, stocks in the custody of the refinery are reported regardless of ownership and quantities must be at least 500 barrels to be reported (rounded to 1 whole-number thousand-barrel unit).

| <b>Report Name: EIA-810 Monthly Refinery Report</b>     |   |
|---|---|
| What is reported  | Input and capacity (thousand barrel), crude quality, production and stock information (thousand barrel) |
| Who is reporting  | All refinery operators  |
| What is the threshold for reporting                     | No minimum; quantities at least 500 barrels due to rounding   |
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Monthly   |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering and operating data   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Throughout refinery, refinery gate  |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Some of the data could be reconciled against the weekly report; sanctions for failure to comply         |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Aggregated data public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | None apparent   |

The annual refinery form (EIA-820) reports on an almost entirely different set of information. In addition to atmospheric crude oil distillation capacity, which is also reported on a monthly basis, the annual form requires the quantity of fuel purchased and consumed at the refinery, receipts of crude oil by method of transportation, downstream charge capacity, production capacity, and storage capacity.

| <b>Report Name: EIA-820 Annual Refinery Report</b>      |  |
|---|--|
| What is reported  | Purchased fuel, crude oil receipts (thousand barrel)   |
| Who is reporting  | All refinery operators   |
| What is the threshold for reporting                     | No minimum   |
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Annual   |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering and operating data  |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory  |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Throughout refinery, refinery gate   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Some of the data could be reconciled against the monthly report; sanctions for failure to comply |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Aggregated data public   |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | None apparent  |

Atmospheric crude oil distillation capacity and downstream charge capacities for individual refineries as well as other information in aggregated form are publicly available at the [Refinery Capacity Report](#) page on the EIA website.

Refineries that produce oxygenates as part of their product mix are required to submit a monthly oxygenate report (EIA-819), which is mandatory for all facilities that produce oxygenates and not limited to refineries. The form reports production and stock information of various oxygenates, including fuel ethanol, ETBE and MTBE, and motor gasoline blending components, by PADD with a U.S. total. Like the monthly refinery report, stocks in the custody of the facility are reported regardless of ownership and the reporting unit is thousand barrels, so quantities below 500 barrels will not be reported due to rounding to the nearest whole number.

| <b>Report Name: EIA-819 Monthly Oxygenate Report</b>    |   |
|---|---|
| What is reported  | Production and stock information of oxygenates              |
| Who is reporting  | Operators of all facilities that produce oxygenates         |
| What is the threshold for reporting                     | No minimum; quantities at least 500 barrels due to rounding |
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Monthly   |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering and operating data                                 |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory                                   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Throughout facility   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Sanctions for failure to comply                             |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Aggregated data public                                      |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | None apparent   |

Aggregated data from EIA-819 is publicly available at the [Monthly Oxygenate Report](#) page on the EIA website.

### **Environmental Protection Agency**

EPA has several reporting programs that capture the flow of petroleum transportation products. Three forms of note are part of the Reformulated Gasoline and Anti-Dumping Reporting Program; one additional form falls under the Diesel Fuel Reporting Program. A complete list of reporting programs and forms is available at [www.epa.gov/otaq/regs/fuels/forms.htm](http://www.epa.gov/otaq/regs/fuels/forms.htm).

The Anti-Dumping Program Annual Report (EPA Form 3520-20H) is required for producers and importers of reformulated gasoline (or RBOB), conventional gasoline or applicable blendstocks. Despite the criteria for reporting, the only volume reported is for gasoline.

| <b>Report Name: EPA Form 3520-20H Anti-Dumping Program Annual Report</b> |  |
|--|--|
| What is reported   | Total volume of conventional gasoline (gallon)   |
| Who is reporting   | Producers and importers of conventional gasoline   |
| What is the threshold for reporting                                      | No minimum   |
| What is the reporting frequency  | Annual   |
| How are the reported data developed                                      | Metering and operating data  |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                                       | Submissions are mandatory  |
| What is the facility level of the reporting                              | Refinery gate; pipeline imports: border point; marine imports: offloading  |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods                  | Sanctions for failure to comply; auditing requirements for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office |
| Is the data public or restricted   | Aggregated data public   |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                                  | None apparent  |

Additional EPA forms of the Reformulated Gasoline Program Emissions Performance Averaging reporting subgroup are required for producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB only.

| <b>Report Name: EPA Form 3520-20L RFG Program NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions Performance Averaging Report<br/>EPA Form 3520-20M RFG Program VOC Emissions Performance Averaging Report</b> |   |
|--|---|
| What is reported   | Total volume of reformulated gasoline or RBOB (gallon)  |
| Who is reporting   | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB (except CA)  |
| What is the threshold for reporting  | No minimum  |
| What is the reporting frequency  | Annual  |
| How are the reported data developed  | Metering and operating data   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary   | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting  | Refinery gate; pipeline imports: border point; marine imports: offloading   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods  | Sanctions for failure to comply; auditing requirements for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office; independent laboratory sampling |
| Is the data public or restricted   | Aggregated data public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported  | CA data   |

In addition to Reformulated Gasoline and Anti-Dumping Reporting, the Diesel Fuel Reporting Program collects volumetric data on the flow of diesel fuel. The Designate & Track Total Volume Report is required separately for each facility and for each designation of fuel. Volumes are reported for diesel fuel received, delivered, produced, and imported. Stock information is reported in the form of beginning and ending inventory.

| <b>Report Name: EPA Form DSF0600 Designate &amp; Track Total Volume Report</b> |   |
|--|---|
| What is reported   | Volume of diesel fuel received, delivered, produced, imported (gallon); beginning and ending inventory (gallon) |
| Who is reporting   | Facilities handling diesel fuel including refiners and importers (except CA)                                    |
| What is the threshold for reporting  | No minimum  |
| What is the reporting frequency  | Annual  |
| How are the reported data developed  | Metering and operating data   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary   | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting                                    | Refinery gate; pipeline imports: border point; marine imports: offloading                                       |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods                        | Sanctions for failure to comply; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office                             |
| Is the data public or restricted   | Aggregated data public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported  | CA data   |

Information submitted to EPA is subject to random in-person audits conducted by EPA's enforcement office. In addition, there are penalties of up to \$32,500 per day per violation for non-compliance with EPA's fuel regulations, including failure to report and reporting false information.

### **Summary**

Refinery reporting to the EIA appears to capture the flow of petroleum commodities through the U.S. refinery system with no apparent gaps in reported data due to mandatory reporting requirement for all refiners. Inputs to the refinery are reported in great detail as are the outputs. Products are reported in detail; particularly any product that by law is sulphur constrained (e.g. diesel < 15ppm, < 500 ppm, etc.). Any products recycled within the refinery are also reported as is the fuel used within the refinery.

Relevant information reported to EPA is confined to gasoline and diesel volumes only, though there are no apparent gaps in reporting due to mandatory reporting requirements for all refiners that produce the specified fuels. It should be noted however that most reporting requirements for diesel fuel volumes under the Designate and Track program will sunset in 2014, leaving significant gaps in diesel volume data.

The annual Worldwide Refinery Survey published by the *Oil & Gas Journal* (OGJ) is a potentially useful resource. The OGJ also publishes the Nelson Complexity Factor for all U.S. refineries. This is actually an evaluation of the capital expenditures at the refineries for processing units, but it is accepted as a surrogate for the complexity of the individual refineries. There is also the Solomon Benchmarking Surveys which compare refineries on the basis of best practices in a number of areas. These are proprietary surveys; however, the OGJ complexity factor surveys can be purchased.

## **3a.2. Imports**

### **Energy Information Administration**

The EIA is the only federal agency that collects reported data on petroleum imports at a level of detail beyond that of the general customs import document. (Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, CBP Form 7501).



The Monthly Imports Report (EIA-814) is required for all importers of record who import crude or petroleum products into the 50 States and the District of Columbia from foreign countries, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and other U.S. possessions. The information reported is shipment-specific; each entry on the form asks for type of commodity, port of entry, country of origin, quantity in thousand barrels, sulphur content by weight, API gravity (crude oil only), and the name and location of the processing company (crude and unfinished products). Transactions with identical details except quantity may be combined and reported on one line. All transactions of at least 500 barrels are reported (as with the refinery forms, due to rounding to the nearest whole-number thousand-barrel unit). Volumetric data is metered at import points: at the border for imports via pipeline and at offloading for marine imports.

| <b>Report Name: EIA-814 Monthly Imports Report</b>      |   |
|---|---|
| What is reported  | Quantity of imported commodity (thousand barrel), sulphur content, API gravity (crude only) |
| Who is reporting  | Importers of record   |
| What is the threshold for reporting                     | Transactions of fewer than 500 barrels not reported due to rounding; virtually all          |
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Monthly   |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering and from foreign supplier  |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Pipeline, at border; marine, at offloading  |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Check against CBP Form 7501 for consistency; sanctions for failure to comply                |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Unrestricted  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | Transactions of fewer than 500 barrels  |

“Importers of Record” are defined by Customs as the owner or purchaser of the goods being imported, or a licensed customs broker designated by the owner or purchaser. The EIA follows the same rules as the Customs which are defined in the various laws governing imports in general.

The form captures nearly all volumes imported into the U.S., as transactions of major products rarely have volumes below the reporting threshold. Data collected on EIA-814 is publicly available at the [Company Level Imports](#) page on the EIA website.

Since all importers of crude oil and petroleum products are required to file EIA-814, which reports shipment-specific information, it would be redundant to review import records from the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), which contain the same set of data points with regards to crude and petroleum products, except in more general (as opposed to petroleum-specific) terms and at a lower level of detail. Indeed, the EIA checks its data against that from CBP Form 7501 (“Entry Summary”) for consistency and uses the CBP data to identify companies that are not in the EIA data for reasons including having imported volumes below the reporting threshold.

The Weekly Imports Report (EIA-804) tracks imports activity by PADD for a list of items that includes crude oil, various formulations of finished motor gasoline, distillates of various sulphur content, and blendstocks, as well as crude imports by country of origin. The EIA-804 is required for selected importers of record who import crude or petroleum products into the 50 States and the District of Columbia from foreign countries, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and other U.S. possessions. As done with the weekly refinery report, companies are selected into the EIA weekly sample according to a procedure

that assures 90 percent coverage. There is no threshold for reporting for this weekly form; importers selected into the sample must report regardless of quantity imported. The information reported on EIA-804 is not publicly available in its reported form, but essentially all of that data is reported on the monthly EIA-814, which is more comprehensive and whose data is publicly available as Company Level Imports.

The Monthly Foreign Crude Oil Acquisition Report (EIA-856) is required for all firms reporting data as of June 1982 and all firms that “acquired more than 500,000 barrels of foreign crude oil in the report month for importation into the United States.” The report includes summary information (total acquisition and offshore inventories) and transaction-specific information (country of origin, crude type, gravity, date and port of loading and landing, vessel or pipeline name, volume, acquisition price, landed cost, etc.).

| <b>Report Name: EIA-856 Monthly Foreign Crude Oil Acquisition Report</b> |  |
|--|--|
| What is reported   | Crude type, API gravity, volume (bbl)  |
| Who is reporting   | Importers of record  |
| What is the threshold for reporting                                      | 500,000 barrels of foreign crude acquired for the report month   |
| What is the reporting frequency  | Monthly  |
| How are the reported data developed                                      | Metering and from foreign supplier   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                                       | Submissions are mandatory  |
| What is the facility level of the reporting                              | Pipeline, at border; marine, at offloading   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods                  | Check against CBP Form 7501 for consistency; sanctions for failure to comply                                 |
| Is the data public or restricted   | Aggregated data public   |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                                  | Firms that acquired fewer than 500,000 barrels for importation for the report month are not required to file |

The EIA estimates that 90% of crude imports is accounted for, while the remaining 10% is not covered by the reporting requirement. The information reported is publicly available only in aggregated form.

The volume reported on EIA-856 is the volume acquired for importation (and not the volume imported). The EIA included this clarifying note in the instructions for the form:

Since the EIA-856 is filled on a cargo-specific basis, it is implicit that the reported acquisitions will have been loaded by the time the report was filed. In cases where foreign crude oil was acquired but not loaded by the time the report was filed, those parcels should be reported as soon as cargo-specific data are available (i.e., presumably when the volumes are loaded).

The Refiners' Monthly Cost Report (EIA-14) collects volumetric data on crude going into refineries. Mandatory for all refiners, the EIA-14 reports, separately for domestic and imported crude, total cost and total volume of crude oil acquired by PADD. This information is available on the EIA website in the form of [Refiner Acquisition Cost of Crude Oil](#) (RAC), which is aggregated from data reported on EIA-14.

| <b>Report Name: EIA-14 Refiners' Monthly Cost Report</b> |  |
|--|--|
| What is reported   | Volume of imported crude oil acquired (thousand barrel)        |
| Who is reporting   | Firms that refine crude oil                                    |
| What is the threshold for reporting                      | 500,000 barrels of foreign crude acquired for the report month |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Monthly  |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory  |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Refinery gate  |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Check against CBP Form 7501 for consistency; sanctions for failure to comply |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Aggregated data public   |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | None apparent  |

Imported volumes reported on EIA-804, EIA-856 and EIA-14 are checked against EIA-814 for consistency.

### **Environmental Protection Agency**

EPA has several reporting programs that capture the flow of petroleum fuels handled by refiners and importers. The EPA forms documented in the preceding section ("Refineries") are applicable to both refiners and importers. Please refer to the preceding section for information on some of those forms.

An additional form in the Reformulated Gasoline and Anti-Dumping Reporting Program that applies to registered foreign refiners only is the Load Port/Port of Entry Independent Sampling, Testing and Refinery/Importer Identification Form (EPA Form 3520-27). Data submitted on this form includes foreign refinery registration information, importer registration information, vessel information and gasoline volume. The form is required for each occasion certified foreign refinery gas (FRGAS) is loaded onto a vessel for transport into the U.S.

| <b>report Name: EPA Form 3520-27 Load Port/Port of Entry Independent Sampling, Testing and Refinery/Importer Identification Form</b> |   |
|--|---|
| What is reported   | Foreign refinery registration number, importer registration number and information, vessel information, gasoline volume (gallon)      |
| Who is reporting   | Registered foreign refiners who opt in  |
| What is the threshold for reporting  | No minimum  |
| What is the reporting frequency  | Per shipment  |
| How are the reported data developed  | Metering and operating data   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary   | Submissions are mandatory for foreign refiners who opt in   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting  | At offloading   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods  | Sanctions for failure to comply; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office; independent laboratory sampling at port of entry |
| Is the data public or restricted   | Aggregated data public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported  | None apparent   |

Information submitted to the EPA is subject to random in-person audits conducted by EPA's enforcement office. In addition, there are penalties of up to \$32,500 per day per violation for non-compliance with the EPA's fuel regulations, including failure to report and reporting false information.

### **Summary**

The mandatory reporting of information on imports in the form of EIA-814 appears to capture the flow of petroleum commodities into the U.S. with gaps only due to rounding.

EIA-856 and EIA-14 are dedicated to capturing the flow and usage of crude oil. These are potentially good resources in addition to EIA-814 should the focus for monitoring fall on crude oil.

EPA's information collection mechanisms on imports of gasoline and diesel are largely shared with those for refiners, and the information collected is generally confined to volumes only. There are no apparent gaps in reporting due to mandatory reporting requirements for all refiners. Including foreign refiners who choose to opt in, that produce the specified fuels.

### 3a.3. Exports

#### **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

The EIA does not have reporting forms for petroleum exports and obtains the data that it publishes from the Census Bureau. The Shipper's Export Declaration (Commerce Form 7525-V) is a general-purpose export form that is required for petroleum exports to most destinations. Aggregated statistics can be obtained from the Census Bureau's monthly reports (EM-522 and EM-594), which are not publicly available.

| <b>Report Name: Commerce Form 7525-V Shipper's Export Declaration</b> |   |
|---|---|
| What is reported  | Commodity type (Schedule B number), quantity (bbl)  |
| Who is reporting  | Exporters   |
| What is the threshold for reporting                                   | No minimum  |
| What is the reporting frequency                                       | Per shipment  |
| How are the reported data developed                                   | Metering  |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                                    | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting                           | Pipeline, at border; marine, at loading   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods               | Unknown   |
| Is the data public or restricted                                      | Aggregated data available but not public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                               | SEDs are not required for exports from the U.S. to U.S. possessions other than Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands |

### 3a.4. Others

In this section a variety of federal reporting requirements that shed light on throughputs in other sectors along the petroleum supply chain are discussed.

#### **Minerals Management Service**

MMS-4054A "Oil and Gas Operations Report, Part A – Well Production (OGOR-A)" reports production volumes by well. The report is filed monthly by all MMS lessees, i.e., Federal offshore and Federal/Indian onshore; a separate report must be filed for each lease. Historical data through January 2008 is available at the [MMS website](#).

MMS-2058 "Production Allocation Schedule Report (PASR)" is required for operators of facility or measurement point handling production from Federal offshore.

| <b>Report Name: MMS-4054A (OGOR-A), MMS-4058 (PASR)</b> |  |
|---|--|
| What is reported  | Volumes (bbl)  |
| Who is reporting  | All MMS lessees (OGOR);<br>All facilities handling Federal offshore production (PASR)  |
| What is the threshold for reporting                     | No minimum   |
| What is the reporting frequency                         | Monthly  |
| How are the reported data developed                     | Metering   |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                      | Submissions are mandatory  |
| What is the facility level of the reporting             | Lease meters   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods | Compliance Asset Management, a division of MMS, verifies the volumetric data against those reported for royalty purpose on MMS-2014. |
| Is the data public or restricted                        | Historical OGOR data publicly available; Offshore Minerals Management (OMM) has complete access to PASR data                         |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                 | None apparent  |

### **Army Corps of Engineers**

The Army Corps of Engineers collects data on domestic marine movements. There are two forms concerning freight carried: Form 3925 is the general form and Form 3925B may be substituted for shallow draft inland traffic. Neither form is petroleum-specific.

| <b>Report Name: ENG Forms 3925 and 3925B Vessel Operation Report</b> |   |
|--|---|
| What is reported   | Commodity type, quantity (ton)  |
| Who is reporting   | All domestic operators engaged in commercial activity on navigable waters   |
| What is the threshold for reporting                                  | Trips of fewer than one mile are not required to be reported; virtually all |
| What is the reporting frequency                                      | Monthly   |
| How are the reported data developed                                  | Metering  |
| Are reports mandatory or voluntary                                   | Submissions are mandatory   |
| What is the facility level of the reporting                          | Ports   |
| What are the verification/certification & QA/QC methods              | Some reconciliation of dock receipts; sanctions for failure to comply       |
| Is the data public or restricted                                     | Aggregated data public  |
| Where are the gaps in the data reported                              | None apparent   |

### **Federal Highway Administration**

The Federal Highway Administration collects consumption data from state agencies that collect the motor-fuel tax for their respective states. States are required to submit Form FHWA-551M on a monthly basis. The volumetric data, which is based on tax record and submitted in aggregated form, is publicly available.

## ***3b.0. Data Gaps and Quality***

In this section the observed gaps in the reporting requirements are discussed and suggestions for alternatives for acquiring missing data are presented. Similarly, quality control of the accuracy of the data that are reported is also discussed.

Based on the review of reporting requirements, the Agency is confident reporting coverage of petroleum refineries and imports captures these sources of petroleum. That is, the volumes reported appear to reflect the totals moving through these sectors and the reporting is at the facility/owner level and is traceable to the facility and owner.

### **3b.1. Reporting Gaps in Industry Coverage**

Refineries and importers report an extensive amount of information on the flows and volumes of their products. They do not, however, report the actual carbon content of their products. They also report volumes of products in fairly aggregated categories, which, in some cases, include fuels with highly variable carbon content. The use of default carbon content factors for these aggregate categories may result in emissions estimates that are not sufficiently precise.

In terms of crude oil it might be possible for each refinery to report the carbon content of the crude oil it uses by separate batch. The EIA forms on crude oil imports contain data on the origin of the crude oil and its API gravity and sulphur content. There are assays available on all major crude oils and part of a full assay is the carbon content of the crude oil. The same is true of domestic crude oil. Some states, particularly California and Texas, have data on the quality of crude oils on a well by well basis. And refineries certainly test each batch they receive since refineries are configured to optimally run within a certain range of quality. However, most refineries use more than one type of crude oil and mingle their crude oil streams presenting an additional problem.

For the past 70 years the National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research (NIPER) at Bartlesville Research Centre in Oklahoma has conducted sampling surveys of gasoline (winter and summer), aviation fuels, and distillates (on-road diesel, diesel for farm vehicles, railroads and marine engines and heating oil) known as the Petroleum Product Surveys (PPS). These surveys are conducted on a nation wide basis and the results based on laboratory tests conducted at Bartlesville. Gasoline samples are taken at the gas stations in order to catch the additives and biofuels. Carbon is not reported. Distillate samples are taken at refineries and only the carbon residue is reported. The Ramsbottom Carbon Residue Test, ASTM<sup>12</sup> Designation D524, is used.

A petroleum product carbon measurement and monitoring system would require laboratory tests or robust default factors. This is discussed further in Section 4.

### **3b.2. Data Sensitivity**

Much of the data reported to EIA, particularly that reported by refiners, is classified by law as being proprietary. EIA publications report data in the aggregate in a manner that precludes the identification of individual facilities, with the exception of details on the nameplate capacity of the process units and types of process units at each individual refinery. Import data are also reported by importing facility or by corporate entity. In some cases it is possible to arrive at information on individual refiners by examining their web sites and their filings with the SEC. However, this is very variable as some companies reveal a great deal of information, but others do not. Most refiners are very careful to not reveal proprietary secrets that bear on economic performance.

---

<sup>12</sup> American Society for Testing and Materials

For refiners the core of their business sensitive data consists of data on “runs and yields” and economics. “Runs and yields” refer to individual intermediate stream rates from and between processing units, and through those, the yields of products that they are able to obtain from the crude oils processed. Data on the physical structure of refineries, and often on the specific type of processing technologies, is publicly available as is the type of imported crude oil that each refinery uses. Domestic crude oil use is also often known. However, utilization, which is measured on the first crude oil processing step, the atmospheric distillation tower (ADT), and the yields of the individual products are never available on an individual refinery basis.

Take a case in point: refinery A and refinery B may be using relatively similar crude oils and have similar downstream processing units. The technology used in the ADT and secondary units will likely be different resulting in different production results. Downstream processing units may be the same basic type of technology but the yields may be different depending on the intensity of the processing, the types of catalysts used, the maintenance condition of the equipment and a host of other physical and operating variables, some decided on a day to day basis depending on market and economic factors. In other words refinery A’s more efficient equipment, better technical knowledge, and quicker business decision-making may result in substantially higher yields of higher value products, and hence, higher profits than refinery B.

One of the reasons that many refiners subscribe to the Solomon Benchmarking Surveys is that it allows each refinery to rate itself against the best industry practices of its peers in a number of areas ranging from energy efficiency to management practices.

### **3b.3. Quality Assurance and Control**

There is very little information on the quality of data reported on the various forms. There is the presumption that mandatory reports with sanctions for not reporting will be accurate as far as the reporting requirements go. Some of the ambiguities in reporting requirements probably have been worked out between the agencies and the reporting community in the years since these reports have been required.

## **4.0. Analysis Supporting the Rule**

This section discusses default values for the carbon content of refined and semi refined petroleum products, natural gas liquids, and biomass as well as potential methods for direct measurement of carbon content. This is followed by a discussion of the threshold calculations and the cost of the rule.

### **4.1. Default Carbon Content Factors**

#### **4.1.1 Default Petroleum Product Carbon Content Factor Uncertainties**

In 1994 the EIA developed new emissions coefficients to replace the coefficients from the IPCC, which were based on samples from Britain. The EIA 1994 published report, *Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States 1987-1992*, cited previous empirical research from 1929 and 1979 that established a set of derived formulas between density, energy content per unit weight and volume, and carbon and hydrogen content. The report compared the emission coefficients calculated on the basis of the

derived formulas with actual emissions coefficients of samples from diverse sources of crude oils, fuel oils, petroleum products, and pure hydrocarbons. The actual fuel samples were of a limited number and taken up to 81 years ago. In the absence of more exact information, this empirical relationship has been used by EIA. In addition, the EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factors published up to 58 years ago.

Below is a review of data sources used in the existing carbon emission coefficients developed by the EIA and used in EPA's annual *U.S. GHG Inventory*.

### **Motor Gasoline and Motor Gasoline Blending Components**

- a) The density of motor gasoline is drawn from NIPER's, *Motor Gasolines, Summer* (various years) and NIPER's, *Motor Gasolines, Winter* (various years).
- b) The characteristics of reformulated gasoline additives are taken from the American Petroleum Institute, *Alcohols and Ethers: A Technical Assessment of Their Applications as Fuels and Fuel Components*, API 4261.
- c) The carbon content of motor gasoline is found in Mark DeLuchi, *Emissions of Greenhouse Gases from the Use of Transportation Fuels and Electricity*, Volume 2, ANL/ESD/TM-22, Vol. 2 (Chicago, IL: Argonne National Laboratory, November 1993), Appendix C, pp. C-1 to C-8 and ultimate analyses of one sample of shale-oil derived gasoline from Applied Systems Corp., *Compilation of Oil Shale Test Results* (Submitted to the Office of Naval Research, April 1976), p. 3-2, three varieties of gasoline from C.C. Ward, "Petroleum and Other liquid Fuels," in *Marks' Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers* (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1978), pp. 7-14, and one sample of gasoline from J.W. Rose and J.R. Cooper, *Technical Data on Fuel*, The British National Committee, World Energy Conference, London, England (1977).
- d) EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.253 million Btu per barrel for conventional gasoline as published by the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation in Appendix V of *Competition and Growth in American Energy Markets 1947-1985*, a 1968 release of historical and projected statistics.
- e) The factors for reformulated and oxygenated gasolines, both currently 5.150 million Btu per barrel, are based on data published in EPA's, Office of Mobile Sources, National Vehicle and Fuel Emissions Laboratory report EPA 420-F-95-003, *Fuel Economy Impact Analysis of Reformulated Gasoline*.

### **Jet Fuel**

The carbon content of naphtha-based jet fuel is from C.R. Martel and L.C. Angello, "Hydrogen Content as a Measure of the Combustion Performance of Hydrocarbon Fuels," in *Current Research in Petroleum Fuels*, Volume I (New York, NY: MSS Information Company, 1977), p. 116.

The density of naphtha-based jet fuel is from the American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985), p. 60

Jet Fuel, Naphtha-Type. EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.355 million Btu per barrel for "Jet Fuel, Military" as published by the Texas Eastern



Transmission Corporation in the report *Competition and Growth in American Energy Markets 1947-1985*, a 1968 release of historical and projected statistics.

Carbon content and density for kerosene-based jet fuels is drawn from O.J. Hadaller and A.M. Momeny, *The Characteristics of Future Fuels*, Part 1, "Conventional Heat Fuels" (Seattle, WA: Boeing Corp., September 1990), pp. 46-50

Jet Fuel, Kerosene-Type. EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.670 million Btu per barrel for "Jet Fuel, Commercial" as published by the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation in the report *Competition and Growth in American Energy Markets 1947-1985*, a 1968 release of historical and projected statistics.

### **Distillate Fuel**

Carbon content and density were derived from the following:

- a) Four samples of distillate from C. T. Hare and R.L. Bradow, "Characterization of Heavy-Duty Diesel Gaseous and Particulate Emissions, and the Effects of Fuel Composition," in Society of Automotive Engineers, *The Measurement and Control of Diesel Particulate Emissions* (1979), p. 128;
- b) Three samples from E.F. Funkenbush, D.G. Leddy, and J.H. Johnson, "The Organization of the Soluble Organic Fraction of Diesel Particulate Matter," in Society of Automotive Engineers, *The Measurement and Control of Diesel Particulate Emissions* (1979) p. 128;
- c) One sample from R.L. Mason, "Developing Prediction Equations for Fuels and Lubricants," SAE Paper 811218, p.34;
- d) One sample from C.T. Hare, K.J. Springer, and R.L. Bradow, "Fuel and Additive Effects on Diesel Particulate- Development and Demonstration of Methodology," in Society of Automotive Engineers, *The Measurement and Control of Diesel Particulate Emissions* (1979), p. 179; and
- e) One Sample from F. Black and L. High, "Methodology for Determining Particulate and Gaseous Diesel Emissions," in Society of Automotive Engineers, *The Measurement and Control of Diesel Particulate Emissions* (1979), p. 128.

EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.825 million Btu per barrel as reported in a Bureau of Mines internal memorandum, "Bureau of Mines Standard Average Heating Values of Various Fuels, Adopted January 3, 1950." A standard heat content was adopted from EIA, *Annual Energy Review 2000*, Appendix A (Washington, D.C., July 2001).

### **Residual Fuel**

The carbon content of residual fuel oil is based on the following:

- a) Three samples of residual fuel from the Middle East and one sample from Texas in F. Mosby, G.B. Hoekstra, T.A. Kleinhenz, and J.M. Sokra, "Pilot Plant Proves Resid Process," in *Chemistry of Petroleum Processing and Extraction* (MSS Information Corporation, 1976), p.227;

- b) Three samples of heavy fuel oils from J.P. Longwell, "Interface Between Fuels and Combustion," in *Fossil Fuel Combustion: A Sourcebook* (New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, 1991);
- c) Three samples of heavy fuel oils from C.C. Ward, "Petroleum and Other Liquid Fuels," in *Marks Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers* (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1978), pp. 7-14;
- d) Two samples of heavy fuel oils from, D.A. Vorum, "Fuel and Synthesis Gases from Gaseous and Liquid Hydrocarbons," in American Gas Association, *Gas Engineer's Handbook* (New York, NY: Industrial Press, 1974), p. 3/71; and
- e) One sample of heavy fuel oil from W. Rose and J.R. Cooper, *Technical Data on Fuel*, The British National Committee, World Energy Conference, London, England (1977).

The density of residual fuel consumed for electric power generation was from EIA, *Cost and Quality of Fuels* (Washington, D.C.).

The density of residual fuel consumed in marine vessels was from EIA, Petroleum Supply Division, *Btu Tax on Finished Petroleum Products*, (unpublished manuscript, April 1993) and the National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research, *Fuel Oil Surveys* (Bartlesville, OK, 1992).

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 6.287 million Btu per barrel as reported in the Bureau of Mines internal memorandum, "Bureau of Mines Standard Average Heating Values of Various Fuels, Adopted January 3, 1950."

#### **Liquefied Petroleum Gases (LPG: ethane, propane, isobutane, and n-butane.)**

Carbon share, density and heat content of liquefied petroleum gases were adopted from V.B. Guthrie (ed.), "Characteristics of Compounds", *Petroleum Products Handbook*, (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1960), p.3-3.

#### **Aviation Gasoline**

Fuel characteristics were taken from the American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985).

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 5.048 million Btu per barrel as adopted by the Bureau of Mines from the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation publication *Competition and Growth in American Energy Markets 1947-1985*, a 1968 release of historical and projected statistics.

#### **Asphalt**

Ultimate analyses of twelve samples of asphalts showed an average carbon content of 83.5 percent.

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 6.636 million British thermal units (Btu) per barrel as estimated by the Bureau of Mines and first published in the *Petroleum Statement, Annual, 1956*.

The density of asphalt is from American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985).

### **Lubricants**

Ultimate analysis of a single sample of motor oil yielded a carbon content of 85.8 percent.

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 6.065 million Btu per barrel as estimated by the Bureau of Mines and first published in the *Petroleum Statement, Annual, 1956*.

The density of lubricants was adopted from American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985).

### **Petrochemical Feedstocks**

The carbon content and density of naphthas is estimated based on G.H. Unzelman, "A Sticky Point for Refiners: FCC Gasoline and the Complex Model," *Fuel Reformulation* (July/August 1992), p. 29.

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 5.248 million Btu per barrel, equal to the thermal conversion factor for special naphthas.

### **Kerosene**

The average density of 41.4 degrees API and average carbon share of 86.01 percent was found in five ultimate analyses of No. 1 fuel oil samples

EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.670 million Btu per barrel as reported in a Bureau of Mines internal memorandum, "Bureau of Mines Standard Average Heating Values of Various Fuels, Adopted January 3, 1950."

### **Petroleum Coke**

Carbon content for petroleum coke was estimated from two samples from S. W. Martin, "Petroleum Coke," in Virgil Guthrie (ed.), *Petroleum Processing Handbook* (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1960), pp. 14-15.

Density of petroleum coke adopted from American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985).

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 6.024 million Btu per barrel as reported in Btu per short ton in the Bureau of Mines internal memorandum, "Bureau of Mines Standard Average Heating Values of Various Fuels, Adopted January 3, 1950." The Bureau of Mines calculated this factor by dividing 30.120 million Btu per short ton, as given in the referenced Bureau of Mines internal memorandum, by 5.0 barrels per short ton, as given in the Bureau of Mines Form 6-1300-M and successor EIA forms.

### **Special Naphtha**

EIA adopted the Bureau of Mines thermal conversion factor of 5.248 million Btu per barrel, which was assumed to be equal to that of the total gasoline (aviation and motor) factor and was first published in the *Petroleum Statement, Annual, 1970*.

Density and aromatic contents for special naphthas are from K. Boldt and B.R. Hall, *Significance of Tests for Petroleum Products* (Philadelphia, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials), p. 30.

#### **Petroleum Waxes**

The density of paraffin wax is from American Society for Testing and Materials, *ASTM and Other Specifications for Petroleum Products and Lubricants* (Philadelphia, PA, 1985). The density of microcrystalline waxes is based on 10 samples found in V. Guthrie (ed.), *Petroleum Products Handbook* (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1960).

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 5.537 million Btu per barrel as estimated by the Bureau of Mines and first published in the *Petroleum Statement, Annual, 1956*.

#### **Miscellaneous Products**

EIA adopted the thermal conversion factor of 5.796 million Btu per barrel as estimated by the Bureau of Mines and first published in the *Petroleum Statement, Annual, 1956*.

The carbon content for crude oil was developed from an equation based on 182 crude oil samples, including 150 samples from U.S. National Research Council, *International Critical Tables of Numerical Data, Physics, Chemistry, and Technology* (New York, NY: McGraw-Hill, 1927).

### **4.1.2. Petroleum Products**

Exhibit 14 shows the full default table provided to reporters in this rule along with footnotes and sources and a brief description of how certain factors were calculated. While many of the emission factors are drawn from EIA data sources described in Section 4.1 of this document, some of them are based on more recent data, and some of them have been calculated specifically for this table.

In the case of transportation fuels containing some portion of biofuels the carbon share in the following table relates only to the fossil fuel components.

**Exhibit 14: Calculation of Default Values for all Refined and Semi Refined Petroleum Products.**

| Refined and Semi-refined Petroleum Products | Column A:<br>Density (API Gravity) | Column B:<br>Specific Gravity | Column C:<br>Density (tonnes/bbl) | Column D:<br>Carbon Share (% of mass) | Column E:<br>Computed Emission Factor (Column C* Column D/100* 44/12 tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> /bbl) |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Motor Gasoline <sup>1</sup>                 |                                    |                               |                                   |                                       |   |
| Conventional - Summer <sup>2,3,4</sup>      | 57.49                              | 0.75                          | 0.12                              | 86.96                                 | 0.38  |
| Conventional - Winter <sup>2,3,4</sup>      | 61.13                              | 0.73                          | 0.12                              | 86.96                                 | 0.37  |
| Reformulated - Summer <sup>2,3,5</sup>      | 58.66                              | 0.74                          | 0.12                              | 86.60                                 | 0.37  |
| Reformulated - Winter <sup>2,3,5</sup>      | 61.49                              | 0.73                          | 0.12                              | 86.60                                 | 0.37  |
| Finished Aviation Gasoline <sup>1</sup>     | 69.00                              | 0.71                          | 0.11                              | 85.00                                 | 0.35  |
| Blendstocks                                 |                                    |                               |                                   |                                       |   |
| RBOB <sup>6,1</sup>                         |                                    |                               | 0.12                              | 86.60                                 | 0.38  |
| CBOB <sup>1</sup>                           | 59.10                              | 0.74                          | 0.12                              | 85.60                                 | 0.37  |
| Others <sup>8,9,10</sup>                    | 72.98                              | 0.69                          | 0.11                              | 84.00                                 | 0.34  |
| Oxygenates                                  |                                    |                               |                                   |                                       |   |
| Methanol <sup>11,12</sup>                   | 47.39                              | 0.79                          | 0.13                              | 37.50                                 | 0.17  |
| GTBA <sup>13,14</sup>                       | 49.91                              | 0.78                          | 0.12                              | 64.90                                 | 0.29  |
| t-butanol <sup>15,16,14</sup>               | 49.91                              | 0.78                          | 0.12                              | 64.90                                 | 0.29  |
| MTBE <sup>17</sup>                          | 59.10                              | 0.74                          | 0.12                              | 68.20                                 | 0.29  |
| ETBE <sup>17</sup>                          | 59.10                              | 0.74                          | 0.12                              | 70.50                                 | 0.30  |
| TAME <sup>17</sup>                          | 52.80                              | 0.77                          | 0.12                              | 70.50                                 | 0.31  |
| DIPE <sup>18,19</sup>                       | 63.67                              | 0.73                          | 0.12                              | 70.60                                 | 0.30  |
| Kerosene-Type Jet Fuel <sup>1</sup>         | 42.00                              | 0.82                          | 0.13                              | 86.30                                 | 0.41  |
| Naphtha-Type Jet Fuel <sup>1</sup>          | 49.00                              | 0.78                          | 0.12                              | 85.80                                 | 0.39  |
| Kerosene <sup>1</sup>                       | 41.40                              | 0.82                          | 0.13                              | 86.01                                 | 0.41  |
| Distillate Fuel Oil                         |                                    |                               |                                   |                                       |   |
| Diesel No. 1 <sup>20,21</sup>               | 35.50                              | 0.85                          | 0.13                              | 86.40                                 | 0.43  |
| Diesel No. 2 <sup>22,21</sup>               | 35.50                              | 0.85                          | 0.13                              | 86.34                                 | 0.43  |
| Diesel No. 4 <sup>20,21</sup>               | 23.20                              | 0.91                          | 0.15                              | 86.47                                 | 0.46  |

Petroleum Product Suppliers Technical Support Document

|  |        |        |      |       |      |
|--|--------|--------|------|-------|------|
| Fuel Oil No. 1 <sup>24</sup>             | 35.50  | 0.85   | 0.13 | 86.40 | 0.43 |
| Fuel Oil No. 2 <sup>24</sup>             | 35.50  | 0.85   | 0.13 | 86.34 | 0.43 |
| Fuel Oil No. 4 <sup>24</sup>             | 23.20  | 0.91   | 0.15 | 86.47 | 0.46 |
| No. 5 (Navy Special) <sup>25,21</sup>    | 32.65  | 0.86   | 0.14 | 85.81 | 0.43 |
| No. 6 (a.k.a. Bunker C) <sup>24</sup>    | 11.00  | 0.99   | 0.16 | 85.68 | 0.40 |
| Petrochemical Feedstocks                 |        |        |      |       |      |
| Naphthas<br>( < 401°F) <sup>26,1</sup>   | 61.10  | 0.73   | 0.12 | 84.11 | 0.36 |
| Other Oils<br>( ≥ 401°F) <sup>27,1</sup> | 35.50  | 0.85   | 0.13 | 86.34 | 0.43 |
| Special Naphthas <sup>1</sup>            | 51.20  | 0.77   | 0.12 | 84.76 | 0.38 |
| Lubricants <sup>1</sup>                  | 25.60  | 0.90   | 0.14 | 85.80 | 0.45 |
| Waxes <sup>1</sup>                       | 43.30  | 0.81   | 0.13 | 85.29 | 0.40 |
| Petroleum Coke <sup>20,1</sup>           |        | 0.44   | 0.07 | 92.28 | 0.23 |
| Asphalt and Road Oil <sup>1</sup>        | 5.60   | 1.03   | 0.16 | 83.47 | 0.50 |
| Still Gas <sup>28,29</sup>               |        | 0.41   | 0.07 | 24.40 | 0.06 |
| Ethane <sup>30,31</sup>                  | 246.84 | 0.37   | 0.06 | 80.00 | 0.17 |
| Ethylene <sup>32,33</sup>                | 117.62 | 0.568  | 0.09 | 85.71 | 0.28 |
| Propane <sup>31</sup>                    |        |        | 0.08 | 81.80 | 0.24 |
| Propylene <sup>34,35</sup>               |        | 0.52   | 0.08 | 85.71 | 0.26 |
| Butane <sup>31</sup>                     |        |        | 0.09 | 82.80 | 0.28 |
| Butylene <sup>36,37</sup>                | 71.51  | 0.70   | 0.11 | 85.71 | 0.35 |
| Isobutane <sup>31</sup>                  |        |        | 0.09 | 82.80 | 0.27 |
| Isobutylene <sup>38,39</sup>             | 109.19 | 0.5879 | 0.09 | 85.71 | 0.29 |
| Pentanes Plus <sup>1</sup>               | 81.70  | 0.66   | 0.11 | 83.70 | 0.32 |
| Miscellaneous Products <sup>*1</sup>     | 30.50  | 0.87   | 0.14 | 85.49 | 0.43 |
| Unfinished Oils <sup>1</sup>             | 30.50  | 0.87   | 0.14 | 85.49 | 0.43 |
| Naphthas <sup>40,21</sup>                | 56.80  | 0.75   | 0.12 | 85.70 | 0.37 |
| Kerosenes <sup>40</sup>                  | 41.10  | 0.82   | 0.13 | 85.80 | 0.41 |
| Heavy Gas Oils <sup>40</sup>             | 20.90  | 0.93   | 0.15 | 85.80 | 0.46 |
| Residuum <sup>40,21</sup>                | 6.90   | 1.02   | 0.16 | 85.70 | 0.51 |
| Waste Feedstocks <sup>**1</sup>          | 25.60  | 0.90   | 0.14 | 85.71 | 0.45 |

|  |                                |   |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
|  |                                |   |  |  |
|  | <b>Conversion Factors</b>      |   |  |  |
|  | SG to lb/gal, multiply by      | 8.32830   |  |  |
|  | g/cm3 to lb/gal, multiply by   | 8.34568   |  |  |
|  | lb/ft.3 to lb/gal, multiply by | 7.480522589   |  |  |
|  |                                |   |  |  |
| * Includes petrolatum, lube refining byproducts (aromatic extracts and tars), absorption oils, ram-jet fuel, petroleum rocket fuel, synthetic natural gas feedstocks, specialty oils, and any other product not listed above that leaves the refinery.   |                                |   |  |  |
| ** Used plastic, used motor oils, used dry cleaning solvents, etc.   |                                |   |  |  |
|  |                                |   |  |  |
| <sup>1</sup> From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007. Table 6-5. Data for kero- and naphtha-based jet fuel received by personal communication from EIA on 21 August 2008  |                                |   |  |  |
| <sup>2</sup> Given the sample data (from the Northrop Grumman <i>Petroleum Product Surveys</i> ) of summer and winter API Gravities for both reformulated and conventional gasoline, a 2 sample t-test was performed to test the null hypothesis that the winter and summer samples came from the same population. The results showed that there is a statistically significant difference between the means of the summer and winter API Gravities, thus, a separate emission factor is calculated for each category. |                                |   |  |  |
| <sup>3</sup> Dickson, Cheryl. Petroleum Product Study, Northrup Grumman, Gasoline, 2007  |                                |   |  |  |
| <sup>4</sup> Calculated based on the following assumptions:  |                                |   |  |  |
| Conventional gasoline consists of the following components (from sample regular unleaded gasoline - <a href="http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0127MAR019.pdf">http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0127MAR019.pdf</a> ):   |                                |   |  |  |
|  | Weight Percent                 | Carbon share (weight %), based on molecular formula |  |  |
| Ethanol  | 0.1                            | 0.52  |  |  |
| Aromatics (assumed toluene)  | 0.29                           | 0.91  |  |  |
| Olefins (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n</sub> )  | 0.17                           | 0.86  |  |  |
| Saturated Hydrocarbons (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n+2</sub> )   | 0.43                           | 0.845   |  |  |
| Benzene  | 0.01                           | 0.92  |  |  |
| Weight Percent Sum, Excluding Ethanol  | 0.9000                         |   |  |  |
| A weighted average of the carbon share of these compounds (excluding ethanol) was calculated to get the weight percent carbon for conventional gasoline.   |                                |   |  |  |
| Calculation of Carbon Share: Column E = ((0.29/0.9)*0.91 + (0.17/0.9)*0.86 + (0.43/0.9)*0.845 + (0.01/0.9)*0.92  |                                |   |  |  |
| Column E = 0.8696*100  |                                |   |  |  |
| Column E = 86.96   |                                |   |  |  |
|  |                                |   |  |  |
| <sup>5</sup> Calculated based on the following assumptions:  |                                |   |  |  |

|  |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Reformulated gasoline consists of the following components (from sample regular unleaded gasoline with EtOH - <a href="http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0130MAR019.pdf">http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0130MAR019.pdf</a> ): |  |   |  |
|  | Weight Percent   | Carbon share (weight %), based on molecular formula |  |
| Ethanol  | 0.0575   | 0.52  |  |
| Aromatics (assumed toluene)  | 0.175  | 0.91  |  |
| Olefins (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n</sub> )  | 0.15   | 0.86  |  |
| Saturated Hydrocarbons (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n+2</sub> )   | 0.5425   | 0.845   |  |
| Benzene  | 0.075  | 0.92  |  |
| Weight Percent Sum, Excluding Ethanol  | 0.9425   |   |  |
| A weighted average of the carbon share of these compounds, excluding ethanol, was calculated to get the weight percent carbon for reformulated gasoline.   |  |   |  |
| Calculation of Carbon Share:   |  |   |  |
| Column E = (0.175/0.9425)*0.91 + (0.15/0.9425)*0.86 + (0.5425/0.9425)*0.845 + (0.075/0.9425)*0.92  |  |   |  |
| Column E = 0.8660*100  |  |   |  |
| Column E = 86.60   |  |   |  |
| <sup>6</sup> Source: CITGO MSDS <a href="http://www.msds.com/index.asp?open=/protected_public/loginsuccessful.asp">http://www.msds.com/index.asp?open=/protected_public/loginsuccessful.asp</a>  |  |   |  |
| At 60°F, avg density = 6.0-6.4 lbs/gal   |  |   |  |
|  |  |   |  |
|  |  |   |  |
| <sup>8</sup> Assume "Others" = isooctane   |  |   |  |
| <sup>9</sup> Isooctane Specific Gravity from: <a href="http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/TR/2,2,4-trimethylpentane.html">http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/TR/2,2,4-trimethylpentane.html</a>  |  |   |  |
| <sup>10</sup> Carbon content based on molecular formula of isooctane (C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub> ).  |  |   |  |
| <sup>11</sup> Source: <a href="http://avogadro.chem.iastate.edu/MSDS/methanol.htm">http://avogadro.chem.iastate.edu/MSDS/methanol.htm</a>  |  |   |  |
| <sup>12</sup> Carbon content calculated from molecular formula, CH <sub>4</sub> O.   |  |   |  |
| <sup>13</sup> Specific gravity from Material Safety Data Sheet: <a href="http://www.sciencestuff.com/msds/C1403.html">http://www.sciencestuff.com/msds/C1403.html</a>  |  |   |  |
| <sup>14</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula, C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O.  |  |   |  |
| <sup>15</sup> Same compound as GTBA; see footnote 7.   |  |   |  |
| <sup>16</sup> Source (specific gravity): <a href="http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/BU/tert-butyl_alcohol.html">http://msds.chem.ox.ac.uk/BU/tert-butyl_alcohol.html</a>   |  |   |  |
| <sup>17</sup> From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007. Table 6-6.   |  |   |  |
| <sup>18</sup> Source (specific gravity): <a href="http://www.coleparmer.com/Catalog/Msds/00803.htm">http://www.coleparmer.com/Catalog/Msds/00803.htm</a>   |  |   |  |
| <sup>19</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula, C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O.  |  |   |  |
| <sup>20</sup> Density from: <a href="http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/fuels-densities-specific-volumes-d_166.html">http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/fuels-densities-specific-volumes-d_166.html</a>  |  |   |  |
| <sup>21</sup> From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007   |  |   |  |
| <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf</a>  |  |   |  |
|  | pg. 185: "If one knows nothing about the composition of a particular petroleum product, assuming that it is 85.7 percent carbon by mass is not an unreasonable first approximation." |   |  |
|  | Thus, for the products whose carbon content is unknown, Column D is assumed to be 85.7%.   |   |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| <sup>22</sup> Source: Dickson, Cheryl. Petroleum Product Study, Northrup Grumman, Diesel Fuel Oils, 2007   |  |  |  |  |
|  | pg. 13, Table 2  |  |  |  |
|  | National Average was taken, as the difference between densities was determined to NOT be statistically significant.  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>24</sup> Source: Table 27-6   |  |  |  |  |
| Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook, 1997 ed., pg. 27-10  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>25</sup> Source: Wauquier, J.-P., ed. Petroleum Refining, Crude Oil, Petroleum Products and Process Flowsheets  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Editions Technip - Paris, 1995)   |  |  |  |
|  | pg.225, Table 5.16   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>26</sup> Specific gravity from: Meyers, <i>Handbook of Petroleum Refining Processes</i> , 3rd ed., (New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 2004), p. 2.10   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>27</sup> From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007   |  |  |  |  |
| <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf</a>  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pg. 186: "Petrochemical Feedstocks with [ . . . ] boiling points [higher than 401 degrees F] are assumed to have the same characteristics as distillate fuel." |  |  |  |
| <sup>28</sup> Weighted average calculated based on samples of still gas from EIA (From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007                   |  |  |  |  |
| <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf</a>  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Specific Gravity Reference:  |  |  |  |
|  | <a href="http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/specific-gravities-gases-d_334.html">http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/specific-gravities-gases-d_334.html</a>      |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>29</sup> Based on Calculation of carbon content of Sample Data, given both the composition of Still gas (Hydrogen, Methane, Ethane and Propane), as well as the weight percent of each component. |  |  |  |  |
| Source:  |  |  |  |  |
| From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007   |  |  |  |  |
| <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf</a>  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>30</sup> Source: V.B. Guthrie (ed.), <i>Characteristics of Compounds, Petroleum Products Handbook</i> , (New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 1960), p. 3-3   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>31</sup> Source: From EIA's <i>Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States</i> . Oct. 2007. Table 6-7.   |  |  |  |  |
| <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/ggrpt/documentation/pdf/0638(2005).pdf</a>  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>32</sup> Source (specific gravity): <a href="http://www.rmisonline.com/chemicaldatabase/ViewInfo1.aspx?SID=112">http://www.rmisonline.com/chemicaldatabase/ViewInfo1.aspx?SID=112</a>             |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>33</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> .   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>34</sup> Source: V.B. Guthrie (ed.), <i>Characteristics of Compounds, Petroleum Products Handbook</i> , (New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 1960), p. 3-3   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>35</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> .   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>36</sup> Meyers, <i>Handbook of Petroleum Refining Processes</i> , 3rd ed., (New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 2004), p. 1.45  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>37</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> .   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>38</sup> Source: <a href="http://www.siri.org/msds/f2/clc/clcvz.html">http://www.siri.org/msds/f2/clc/clcvz.html</a>  |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>39</sup> Carbon content calculated from the molecular formula C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>8</sub> .   |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>40</sup> Source: <a href="http://www.marscrude.com/mars_assays/july99/assay99.xls">www.marscrude.com/mars_assays/july99/assay99.xls</a>   |  |  |  |  |

|  |
|--|
| As MARS crude is 31°API, it is representative of the type of crude oil that the average US refinery runs. Thus, the data for MARS crude is taken to be representative for all crude run in the US. |
|--|

### Conventional and Reformulated Gasoline

Using conventional and reformulated gasoline sample data from the Northrop Grumman *Petroleum Product Surveys*, the API gravities of over 1,400 samples of gasoline from across the United States were statistically analyzed for a difference in means. Specifically, a two sample t-test was conducted for summer vs. winter API gravities, summer reformulated vs. winter reformulated gravities and summer conventional vs. winter conventional gravities. The t-test was used to test the null hypothesis that the winter and summer samples came from the same population, which would lead to a negligible difference in means between the two data sets. From these tests, it was determined that there is a statistically significant difference between the API gravities of both summer and winter gasoline, as well as between conventional and reformulated gasoline. This difference in API gravity leads to a difference in calculated emission factors. Thus, for the calculation of emission factors, a different mean API gravity for each subset of finished motor gasoline was used.

The carbon contents of reformulated and conventional gasolines were calculated based on laboratory data from a gasoline sample.. Conventional gasoline consists of the following components<sup>13</sup>:

|  | Weight Percent | Carbon share (weight %),<br>based on molecular<br>formula |
|--|----------------|---|
| Ethanol  | 0.1            | 0.52  |
| Aromatics (assumed<br>toluene)                                   | 0.29           | 0.91  |
| Olefins (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n</sub> )                        | 0.17           | 0.86  |
| Saturated<br>Hydrocarbons<br>(C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n+2</sub> ) | 0.43           | 0.845   |
| Benzene  | 0.01           | 0.92  |

The average carbon content for conventional gasoline was determined by taking a weighted average of the carbon shares of each component, excluding ethanol.

The average composition of reformulated gasoline is assumed to be the following<sup>14</sup>:

|   | Weight Percent | Carbon share (weight %),<br>based on molecular<br>formula |
|---|----------------|---|
| Ethanol                                   | 0.0575         | 0.52  |
| Aromatics (assumed<br>toluene)            | 0.175          | 0.91  |
| Olefins (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n</sub> ) | 0.15           | 0.86  |

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0127MAR019.pdf>

<sup>14</sup> <http://www.marathonpetroleum.com/content/documents/mpc/msds/0130MAR019.pdf>

|  |        |       |
|--|--------|-------|
| Saturated Hydrocarbons (C <sub>n</sub> H <sub>2n+2</sub> ) | 0.5425 | 0.845 |
| Benzene  | 0.075  | 0.92  |

The average carbon content of reformulated gasoline was determined by taking a weighted average of the carbon shares of each component, excluding ethanol.

The omission of ethanol in the determination of carbon content of both conventional and reformulated gasoline is done to prevent reporters from including in their emissions calculation any emissions from the combustion of a biomass-based feedstock. In this rule, potential emissions from the combustion of biomass-based products are accounted for at the time of feedstock harvest, collection, or disposal, not at the point of fuel combustion. This is a longstanding accounting convention adopted by the IPCC, the UNFCCC, the U.S. GHG Inventory, and many other State and regional GHG reporting programs.

If a refinery produces an ex refinery gate product that has been blended with ethanol, it should follow the specific calculations provided in the regulation to ensure that the potential CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the petroleum-based portion of the product are not overestimated.

The samples used in the table above contain ethanol because the only publicly available compositions of gasoline were gasoline that included ethanol. Ethanol was omitted from the calculation of total weight percent of gasoline, thus not affecting the final emission factor.

### **Finished Aviation Gasoline**

The average emission factor (EF) for finished aviation gasoline was calculated from the API Gravity and carbon content of finished aviation gasoline, as reported in Table 6-5 of the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

### **Blendstocks**

The physical properties for RBOB (Reformulated Blendstock for Oxygenate Blending), CBOB (Conventional Blendstock for Oxygenate Blending) and GTAB (Gasoline Treated as Blendstock) were taken as equal to the properties of finished motor gasoline, except where noted.

### **Oxygenates**

The chemical properties of each oxygenate were taken from references as noted. The carbon contents were computed from the compounds' molecular formulas.

### **Kerosene-Type Jet Fuel**

The average EF for kerosene-type jet fuel was calculated from the API Gravity and carbon content of kerosene-type jet fuel, as reported in Table 6-5 of the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

### **Naptha-Type Jet Fuel**

The average EF for naptha-type jet fuel was calculated from the API Gravity and carbon content of naptha-type jet fuel, as requested, from background documents to the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

#### **Kerosene**

The average EF for finished kerosene was calculated from the API Gravity and carbon content of kerosene, as reported in Table 6-5 of the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

#### **Diesel Fuel Oil No.1 and No.4**

The physical and chemical properties of these fuel oils were taken from *Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook, 1997 ed.* Diesel fuel oil No.1 and No.4 are considered chemically similar to their fuel oil counterparts.

#### **Diesel Fuel Oil No.2**

The national average of API gravity for diesel fuel oil No.2 was taken from the Northrop Grumman *Petroleum Product Survey* for Diesel Fuel Oils. Given the samples of diesel fuel from across the country, a statistical analysis was performed to test whether there was a significant difference between the means of each region. A statistically significant difference was not found, thus, the data could be treated as one set and averaged together for a nation-wide mean API gravity. As with diesel fuel oil Nos. 1 and 4, the average carbon content of No.2 was taken as the average carbon content of all petroleum products, due to the difficulty in characterizing the average composition of diesel fuels.

#### **Fuel Oil Nos. 1, 2, and 4**

The physical and chemical properties of these fuel oils were taken from *Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook, 1997 ed.*

#### **Residual Fuel Oil No.5 (Navy Special)**

The physical and chemical properties for fuel oil No.5 were taken from the reference book, *Petroleum Refining, Crude Oil, Petroleum Products and Process Flowsheets*. The average carbon content of No.5 was taken as 80% of the carbon content of Fuel Oil No.6 and 20% of the carbon content of Fuel Oil No.2.

#### **Residual Fuel Oil No. 6**

The physical and chemical properties of fuel oil No.6 was taken from *Perry's Chemical Engineer's Handbook, 1997 ed.*

#### **Petrochemical Feedstocks – Naphthas**

The specific gravity of naphthas was taken from *Handbook of Petroleum Refining Processes*, while carbon content is from Table 6-5 of the EIA's *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

#### **Petrochemical Feedstocks – Other Oils**

The average emission factor (EF) for other oils was calculated from the API Gravity and the carbon content of distillate fuels, as reported in Table 6-5 of the EIA's *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*.

### **Special Naphthas, Lubricants, Waxes, Petroleum Coke, Asphalt and Road Oil, Pentanes Plus and Miscellaneous Products**

The average EFs for the above products were calculated from the API Gravity and the carbon content of each product, as reported in Table 6-5 of the EIA's *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*, unless otherwise noted.

### **Still Gas**

The carbon content of still gas was calculated using a weighted average of samples given in the EIA's *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*, using the composition of still gas (hydrogen, methane, ethane and propane), as well as the weight percent of each component gas.

### **Ethane, Ethylene, Propane, Propylene, Butane, Butylene, Isobutane, Isobutylene**

The chemical properties of each were taken from references as noted. The carbon contents were computed from the compounds' molecular formulas.

### **Unfinished Oils**

Emission factors for unfinished oils were calculated from the average API gravity of the oil and the average carbon content of petroleum products, as given in the EIA's *Documentation for Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States*. This carbon content factor was used due to the difficulty in characterizing the average composition of unfinished oils.

### **Naphthas, Kerosenes, Heavy Gas Oils and Residuum**

The physical and chemical compositions of the above products were taken from the characterization of MARS crude. As MARS crude is about 31 degrees API gravity, it is representative of the crude oil that the average US refinery runs. Thus, the data for MARS crude is taken to be representative for all crude run in the US.

#### **4.1.3. Natural Gas Liquids**

When crude oil is produced together with associated gas, the wet gas is separated at the lease site and then sent to a natural gas processing plant. At this plant the methane is separated out and sent to the natural gas distribution system. The natural gas liquids (NGLs) are sent to various end users: petrochemical plants, refineries, and in the case of pure streams of butane and propane into the market. In the case of refineries the NGLs are often sent as an undifferentiated stream known as bulk NGLs, that is the C2+ stream shown in Exhibit 15 below.

The crude oil, which is sent to refineries, usually still retains NGLs and these are then separated at the refinery and used in various processing steps where they co-mingle with the NGLs obtained from the natural gas processing plant. Refiners attempting to estimate the carbon content of feedstocks and products are faced with identifying the NGLs that come from natural gas processing plants and the NGLs and their derivatives (propane and propylene) that may come from within the refinery and move out of the refinery to petrochemical complexes, and with deciding whether or not the carbon content of the two streams is similar.

In the case of pure streams, such as propane, butane, isobutane the factors in Exhibit 14 can be used. For the heavier products there is some difference and refiners should decide between the factors in Exhibit 14 and Exhibit 15 depending on what stream of

NGLs they are considering. In all cases, if refiners are unable to determine whether a feedstock is NGL- or petroleum-based, they must report it as a petroleum product.

### Heavier NGLs

The carbon content of naphtha obtained during petroleum refining differs from natural gasoline, also called “pentane-plus,” obtained during natural gas processing. Refinery naphtha and processing plant natural gasoline contain many of the same hydrocarbons (typically C<sub>5</sub> to C<sub>12</sub>), but the distribution of these molecules differs. Natural gasoline is the heavier fraction of natural gas that is separated from crude oil at the wellhead. The distribution of hydrocarbons in natural gas tails off quickly for heavier molecules such as C<sub>8</sub> and C<sub>9</sub>. Thus, the natural gasoline composition tends to be skewed toward the lighter molecules such as pentane and hexane. Naphtha, including “light straight run,” is the distillation fraction that condenses at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure from crude oil distillation, hydrocarbons that boil between roughly 100°F and 400°F. The boiling range of naphtha fractions (whole naphtha, light naphtha, medium naphtha, heavy naphtha) is decided by each refiner based on its downstream operations and economics.

As a comparison, natural gasoline is typically 83.7% carbon by weight, slightly higher than pentane at 83.33%. An equally distributed whole naphtha cut from 95°F to 420°F (boiling range from pentane to dodecane) is estimated to contain 84.2% carbon by weight, slightly lower than dodecane at 84.71% and approximately the same as naphtha reported in this rule. Natural gasoline has lower carbon content because it naturally lies towards the lighter end of the boiling range, whereas refinery naphtha fractions are more evenly distributed over the entirety of boiling range.

**Exhibit 15: Emission Factors for Natural Gas Liquids**

| <b>NGL's Used in Petroleum Refineries</b> | <b>Column A: Density (API Gravity)</b> | <b>Column B: Specific Gravity</b> | <b>Column C: Density (tonnes/bbl)</b> | <b>Column D: Carbon Share (% of mass)</b> | <b>Column E: Computed Emission Factor (Column C* Column D/100* 44/12 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/bbl)</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| C2+                                       | 158.80                                 | 0.51                              | 0.08                                  | 81.79                                     | 0.24  |
| C4+                                       | 99.46                                  | 0.62                              | 0.10                                  | 83.15                                     | 0.30  |
| C5+                                       | 81.70                                  | 0.66                              | 0.11                                  | 83.70                                     | 0.32  |
| C6+                                       | 70.60                                  | 0.70                              | 0.11                                  | 84.04                                     | 0.34  |

### Definitions:

C2+ (also known as bulk NGLs) means the NGL fraction consisting of hydrocarbon molecules ethane and heavier. The characteristics for this fraction, as reported in Exhibit 15, are derived from the mixture of 31% ethane and 29% propane as reported in Exhibit 14, and 41% C4+. These proportions were determined from an example API E&PTankCalc run on 34°API crude oil from a separator temperature of 100°F and pressure of 40 psig.

C4+ means the NGL fraction consisting of hydrocarbon molecules butane and heavier. The characteristics for this fraction, as reported in Exhibit 15, are derived from the mixture of 39% “pentanes plus” and 61% butane as reported in Exhibit 14. These proportions were determined from an example API E&PTankCalc run on 34°API crude oil from a separator temperature of 100°F and pressure of 40 psig.

C5+ refers to “pentanes plus”, the characteristics of which can be found in Exhibit 14.

C6+ means the NGL fraction consisting of hydrocarbon molecules hexane and heavier. The characteristics for this fraction, as reported in Exhibit 15, are derived from the assumption that “pentane plus”, as reported in Exhibit 14, consists of a mixture of 53% C6+ and 47% pentane. These proportions were determined from an example API E&PTankCalc run on 34°API crude oil from a separator temperature of 100°F and pressure of 40 psig.


#### 4.1.4. Biomass Feedstock and Products

Refiners that co-process biomass with petroleum feedstock (e.g. renewable diesel) or blend biomass-based fuels into petroleum-based fuels (e.g. ethanol blended with gasoline) must use the biomass emission factors in Table MM-3 in the Rule. This subsection discusses the major types of biofuels and some of the background assumptions for the default carbon content factors in Table MM-3.

##### Renewable Diesel

Renewable diesel fuel can be made through the co-processing, such as thermal depolymerization, of biological and fossil diesel feedstock. As an example, ConocoPhillips in an alliance with Tyson pioneered an emerging technology that is capable of creating renewable diesel fuel from beef, pork, and poultry fat. This technology uses a thermal depolymerization process to co-process the animal fat with traditional hydrocarbon feedstock. This process is diagrammed in Exhibit 16 below.

**Exhibit 16: Renewable Diesel Co-processing By Thermal Depolymerization**



The resulting fuel is chemically equivalent to standard diesel fuel produced from purely hydrocarbon feedstocks, meets ASTM standards, and can be transported directly through existing pipelines to distribution terminals. The fuel is approximately equal in energy content to regular diesel, and has a higher cetane value.

The technology was successfully tested at the ConocoPhillips's Whitegate refinery in Cork, Ireland, in 2006<sup>15</sup>. The companies plan to make as much as 175 million gallons per year<sup>16</sup> of renewable diesel to help supplement the U.S.'s diesel supply.

The portion of biological carbon that is present in renewable diesel and light fractions is not readily apparent; therefore the Rule requires that refiners report the carbon content of any biomass that will be co-processed with a petroleum product using default values. Exhibit 18 below shows the estimated default emission factors for both animal fats and vegetable oils that can be co-processed within a refinery. The text following the exhibit lays out the assumptions.

**Exhibit 17: Emission Factors for the Bio Portion of Renewable Diesel**

| <b>Animal Fat and Vegetable Oil as Renewable Diesel Feedstock</b> | <b>Column A: Density (API Gravity)</b> | <b>Column B: Specific Gravity</b> | <b>Column C: Density (tonnes/bbl)</b> | <b>Column D: Carbon Share (% of mass)</b> | <b>Column E: Computed Emission Factor (Column C* Column D/100* 44/12 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/bbl)</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Animal Fat <sup>17</sup>  | 36.95                                  | 0.84 <sup>18</sup>                | 0.13                                  | 76.19                                     | 0.37  |
| Vegetable Oil <sup>3</sup>  | 22.64                                  | 0.92 <sup>19</sup>                | 0.15                                  | 76.77                                     | 0.41  |

### Assumptions

Animal fat means fats extracted from animals, with 76.19% carbon by weight, characterized by the composition of fatty acids described in Exhibit 19.

Vegetable oil means oils extracted from vegetation, with 76.77% carbon by weight, characterized by the composition of fatty acids described in Exhibit 19.

<sup>15</sup> ConocoPhillips. *Tyson-COP Alliance*.

<<http://www.conocophillips.com/Tech/emerging/Tyson/index.htm>>.

<sup>16</sup> MSN. *ConocoPhillips, Tyson to make diesel from fats*. April 16, 2007.

<<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/18136194/>>.

<sup>17</sup> See Exhibit 19.

<sup>18</sup> Griffin Industries. Material Safety Data Sheet, Identity: Chicken Fat. March 19, 2007.

<<http://www.griffinind.com/Griffin%2004%20Site/PDFs/MSDS%20sheets/MSDS%20StabilizedChickenFat.pdf>>.

<sup>19</sup> Weast, R.C., et al. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1988-1989: F3. Accessed from <<http://hypertextbook.com/facts/2000/IngaDorfman.shtml>>.



**Exhibit 18: Composition of Animal Fat and Vegetable Oil**

| Fatty acid | Carbon Share (%C <sub>FA</sub> ) | Animal Fat <sup>20</sup> (X <sub>FA</sub> ) | Vegetable oil <sup>21</sup> (X <sub>FA</sub> ) |
|------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 14:0       | 73.7%                            | 1%  | 0%   |
| 16:0       | 75.0%                            | 24%   | 9%   |
| 16:1       | 75.6%                            | 5%  | 0%   |
| 18:0       | 76.1%                            | 8%  | 6%   |
| 18:1       | 76.6%                            | 44%   | 27%  |
| 18:2       | 77.1%                            | 17%   | 51%  |
| 18:3       | 77.7%                            | 1%  | 7%   |

**Calculations**

Using the assumptions displayed in Exhibit 19, the weight percent of carbon for animal fat and vegetable oil (Column D of Exhibit 18) were calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Carbon Share} = \sum [\% C_{FA} \times X_{FA}]$$

Where %C<sub>FA</sub> is the weight percent of carbon of a fatty acid and X<sub>FA</sub> is the composition portion of that fatty acid in animal fat or vegetable oil as shown in Exhibit 19.

**Biodiesel/Straight Run Diesel Refinery Blending**


Biodiesel is blended into refinery straight run diesel at some petroleum refinery racks. AGE Refining, Inc. was the first petroleum refinery to offer a biodiesel blend to distributors, blended within the refinery gate<sup>22</sup>. A diagram of this blending process is provided in Exhibit 17.

<sup>20</sup> Cyberlipid Center. *Lipids of Land Animals*. Accessed September 9, 2008. <<http://www.cyberlipid.org/glycer/glyc0071.htm>>. Estimated by Poultry fat.

<sup>21</sup> Erasmus, Udo. *Fats That Heal, Fats That Kill: The Complete Guide to Fats, Oils, Cholesterol, and Human Health*. Table accessed from <<http://curezone.com/foods/fatspercent.asp>>. Estimated by Soy Bean Oil.

<sup>22</sup> Biodiesel Org. *Texas Oil Refinery Becomes First to Offer Biodiesel Blend in U.S.* May 23, 2005. <[http://www.biodiesel.org/resources/pressreleases/pre/20050525\\_age\\_refining.pdf](http://www.biodiesel.org/resources/pressreleases/pre/20050525_age_refining.pdf)>.

**Exhibit 19: Refinery Blending of Biodiesel with Fossil Diesel**



Blends come in several varieties that are defined by the percentage of biodiesel present in the mixture. B2, B5, B10, B20, B30, B50, B95, B99, and B100 denote diesel fuel blends that are 2%, 5%, 10%, 20%, 30%, 50%, 95%, 99%, and 100% biodiesel respectively.

The 100% biodiesel factor presented in Table MM-3 in the Rule, 0.40 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel, was derived from Tables IV.A.3-2 and 3-3 in *A Comprehensive Analysis of Biodiesel Impacts on Exhaust Emissions*.<sup>23</sup>

### Ethanol

Ethanol is added to gasoline as an oxygenate. Oxygenates are an effective alternative to aromatics as a gasoline additive to boost octane levels, reduce engine knocking, and to reduce emissions of pollutants in the engine exhaust.

The emissions factor for combustion of ethanol presented in Table MM-3 in the Rule, 0.23 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel, was derived from Chapter 3 of the U.S. EPA's *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 – 2006*.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>23</sup> EPA420-P-02-001 available at [www.epa.gov/otaq/models/analysis/biodsl/p02001.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/otaq/models/analysis/biodsl/p02001.pdf)

<sup>24</sup> EPA 430-R-08-005 available at [http://epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads/08\\_CR.pdf](http://epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads/08_CR.pdf)

## 4.2. Direct Measurement Methods for Establishing Carbon Content

### 4.2.1. Direct Density Measurements

All density measurements of petroleum products can be conducted using appropriate ASTM standard methodologies. The appropriate methods and the products to which each applies are detailed in the paragraphs below.

For liquefied petroleum gases (LPG) and other light hydrocarbons ASTM D1657 – 02(2007) *Standard Test Method for Density or Relative Density of Light Hydrocarbons by Pressure Hydrometer* can be used. This method covers light hydrocarbons having Reid vapor pressures exceeding 14.696 psia. The prescribed apparatus should not be used for materials having vapor pressure higher than 200 psia at the test temperature. For petroleum products that are low-viscosity, transparent liquids, ASTM D1298 – 99(2005) *Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), or API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Liquid Petroleum Products by Hydrometer Method* can be used. This method applies to products having Reid vapor pressures less than 14.696 psia. Values are measured on a hydrometer at either the reference temperature or at another convenient temperature. If another temperature is chosen, then readings are corrected to the reference temperature by means of the Petroleum Measurement Tables.

For petroleum products that may not be transparent liquids, but translucent, or more viscous, ASTM D4052 -96(2002)e1 *Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density of Liquids by Digital Density Meter* can be used. This test method covers petroleum distillates and viscous oils that can be handled in a normal fashion as liquids at test temperatures between 60 and 95 degrees Fahrenheit. Its application is restricted to liquids with vapor pressures below 14.6 psia and viscosities below about 15,000 cSt at the temperature of the test. This test method should not be applied to samples so dark in color that the absence of air bubbles in the sample cell cannot be established with certainty.

For dark, heavy petroleum products, ASTM D5002 – 99(2005) *Standard Test Method for Density and Relative Density of Crude Oils by Digital Analyzer* can be used. This test method covers crude oils and products that can be handled in a normal fashion as liquids at test temperatures between 60 and 95 degrees Fahrenheit. It applies to crude oils and products with high vapor pressures provided appropriate precautions are taken to prevent vapor loss during the transfer of the sample to the density analyzer. Heavier crudes can require measurements at higher temperatures to eliminate air bubbles in the sample.

Petroleum coke requires ASTM D5004 – 89(2004)e1 *Standard Test Method for Real Density of Calcined Petroleum Coke by Xylene Displacement*. This test method is intended for the determination of the real density of calcined petroleum coke, but it is assumed here that it is also suitable for non-calcined petroleum coke. The density is obtained when the particle size of the test specimen is smaller than No. 200 sieve.

For all testing and reporting, specific gravity will be converted density using the value for water at 60 degrees Fahrenheit, 8.32830, as reported from Perry's *Chemical Engineering Handbook* for API gravity of 10 degrees.

#### 4.2.2. Direct Carbon Share Measurements<sup>25</sup>

Carbon content measurement standards are not commonplace. One existing standard is ASTM D5291 (2007) *Standard Test Methods for Instrumental Determination of Carbon, Hydrogen, and Nitrogen in Petroleum Products and Lubricants*. It was developed mainly for hydrogen and nitrogen but also had the capability to measure carbon, so that was included as well. The measurement method involves placing a sample on an absorbent to minimize vaporization and then combusting a sample at about 1000 degrees Celsius. Combustion products are measured to derive hydrogen, nitrogen, and carbon content.

ASTM D5291(2007) is suitable for heavier hydrocarbon liquids such as kerosenes, diesels, fuel oils, residual oils, lubricants and petroleum coke. Volatile hydrocarbon liquids such as gasoline and other gasoline blend stocks are not recommended for use with the test method: volatile hydrocarbons may escape before the sample reaches the combustion zone, avoiding combustion into carbon dioxide and lowering the carbon share for the measurement. Liquefied petroleum gases (LPG), such as propane and butane, would be entirely unsuitable for this method.

The ASTM committee did not provide specific published methods for determining carbon content of fuels but instead offered that gas chromatography is one possibility. ASTM D6729 – (2004)e1, *Standard Test Method for Determination of Individual Components in Spark Ignition Engine Fuels by 100 Metre Capillary High Resolution Gas Chromatography* is a recommended alternative. Gas chromatography (GC) would be entirely suitable for LPGs and NGLs as these products have few chemical constituents easily quantified by GC: ethane, ethylene, propane, propylene, and isomers of butane/butylenes, pentane/pentenenes and hexane/hexenes. GC would also be suitable for near-pure volatile gasoline blending components such as alcohols and ethers: methanol, n-butyl alcohol, ETBE, TAME, DIPE, and MTBE. GC is a less practical test method for determining carbon share in complex volatile mixtures such as gasoline and gasoline blend-stocks given that it incompletely separates and quantifies the large number of close-boiling point isomers of individual hydrocarbon species. In the absence of a specific standard, ASTM D5291 (2007) may be an appropriate surrogate for determining carbon share in volatile liquid fuels and liquid blending components as long as its limitations are noted, with ASTM D6729 – (2004)e1 used for LPG products, alcohols and ether blending components.

### 4.3. Threshold Calculations

#### 4.3.1. Refineries

A threshold analysis was conducted on the petroleum products produced by each refinery to estimate the number of refineries with emissions that surpassed the threshold limits of 1000, 10,000, 25,000 and 100,000 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e per year. For this analysis only those refineries with atmospheric distillation columns were used (140 out of the existing 150 U.S. refineries: EIA 2006). The preliminary threshold analysis was conducted by estimating emissions of the motor gasoline produced by each refinery. United States refineries and their 2006 atmospheric distillation capacities were obtained from the

<sup>25</sup> Information drawn from a memo from ICF to EPA dated July 2008

National Petrochemical and Refiners Association (NPRA) for the threshold analysis. The total motor gasoline produced by PADD district in 2006 was collected from the EIA and was apportioned to each refinery on the basis of their atmospheric distillation capacity (i.e. the ratio of the atmospheric distillation capacity of the refinery to the total capacity of the PADD district was multiplied by the total motor gasoline produced by PADD district to obtain the motor gasoline produced by each refinery). The production numbers obtained from EIA are adjusted for products that are re-processed to convert into other products (i.e. reported numbers are net product volumes). However, products shipped between refineries are not accounted for in the EIA production numbers. Therefore, any product shipped between refineries is double counted in the estimate.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]



[illegible]

[illegible]

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per refinery, the carbon emission factors (MMTC/10<sup>15</sup> Btu) for motor gasoline and the various petroleum products (finished aviation gasoline, jet fuel, kerosene, distillate fuel oil, residual fuel oil, petrochemical feedstocks, special naphthas, lubricants, waxes, petroleum coke, asphalt and road oil, and miscellaneous products) were obtained from the EIA *Emissions of GHG in the United States 2001*, with the exception of diesel, naphthas, and special naphthas that were obtained from the API *Compendium of GHG Estimation Methodologies for the Oil and Gas Industry 2004*. The carbon emission factors were converted to CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors by assuming 100% oxidation of the fuels with the exception of petrochemical feedstocks, special naphthas, asphalt and road oil, lubricants, and miscellaneous products, which were assumed to have a 40% oxidation rate. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors (tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel) were calculated by multiplying the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>/10<sup>15</sup> Btu) with their corresponding heat content obtained from the EIA *Thermal Conversion Factors 2008*.

An alternative approach to calculating CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors for petrochemical feedstocks, special naphthas, asphalt and road oil, lubricants, and miscellaneous products would be to apply to each product a product-specific oxidation factor instead of the 40% average rate.

**Exhibit 20: Calculated CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Factor.**

| Fuel                     | Heat Content | Emission Factor           |   | Oxidation Rate |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|----------------|
|                          | MMBtu/bbl    | MMTC/10 <sup>15</sup> BTU | Tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> / bbl (calculated) |                |
| Motor Gasoline           | 5.25         | 19.34                     | 0.37                                      | 100%           |
| Diesel                   | 5.61         | 0.076*                    | 0.43                                      | 100%           |
| Petrochemical Feedstocks | 5.69         | 19.37                     | 0.40                                      | 40%            |
| Naphtha/ Reformer Feed   | 5.25         | 0.07*                     | 0.38                                      | 100%           |
| Kerosene                 | 5.67         | 19.72                     | 0.41                                      | 100%           |
| Kerosene/Jet Fuel        | 5.67         | 19.33                     | 0.40                                      | 100%           |
| Aviation Gas             | 5.05         | 18.87                     | 0.35                                      | 100%           |
| Residual Fuel Oil        | 6.29         | 21.49                     | 0.50                                      | 100%           |
| Distillate               | 5.83         | 19.95                     | 0.43                                      | 100%           |
| Lubricants               | 6.07         | 20.24                     | 0.45                                      | 40%            |
| Asphalt and Road Oil     | 6.64         | 20.62                     | 0.50                                      | 40%            |
| Wax                      | 5.54         | 19.81                     | 0.40                                      | 40%            |
| Miscellaneous Products   | 5.80         | 19.81                     | 0.42                                      | 40%            |
| Petroleum Coke           | 6.02         | 27.85                     | 0.62                                      | 100%           |
| Special Naphthas         | 5.25         | 0.075*                    | 0.39                                      | 100%           |

\*These API values are in tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu (average)

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the refineries were obtained by multiplying the volume of motor gasoline produced by each refinery with the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor (tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel) for motor gasoline. The number of refineries with emissions greater than the specified threshold emission value was identified i.e. for the emission threshold value of 1,000

CO<sub>2</sub>, all refineries possessing total emissions >1,000 CO<sub>2</sub> were calculated. The total percent of emissions covered by each threshold limit was calculated by dividing the total emissions covered by the threshold limit with the total national emissions.

[illegible]

# Petroleum Product Suppliers Technical Support Document

[illegible]

# Petroleum Product Suppliers Technical Support Document

[illegible]

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

All the refineries were found to have emissions greater than the specified thresholds i.e. 1,000, 10,000, 25,000, and 100,000 CO<sub>2</sub> tonnes/year from motor gasoline produced by each refinery alone, and as a result the total emissions from all the petroleum products for the refineries were obtained by multiplying the national production volume for each product with their respective heating values and CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors.

Emissions from LPGs and NGLs were not included in our calculation of the total emissions from all refinery petroleum products. Roughly 75 percent of all LPGs and NGLs in the country in 2006 were used as non-combustion petrochemical feedstocks as indicated by the API report - *2006 Sales of Natural Gas Liquids and Liquefied Refinery Gases*. The API report also indicates that about 46.2 percent of propane was used as combustion fuel, the remaining 53.8 percent being used as petrochemical feedstock, in year 2006. In addition, 9.7 percent of butane and 2.4 percent of ethane were used for combustion purposes in 2006. None of the pentanes were used for combustion use. About 68.6 percent of butane and 67.3 percent of pentanes plus were used as gasoline blendstock in 2006.

An alternative approach to calculating the total emissions from all refinery petroleum products would be to include emissions from NGLs and LPGs. This would be accomplished by multiplying each NGL and LPG product by a product-specific carbon content default value (see Exhibit 24) and by an oxidation factor – either the 40% average or a product-specific factor.

**Exhibit 21: Estimated National CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Refineries**

| <b>Net Refinery Production of Finished Petroleum Products</b> | <b>Refinery Net Production (Thousand Barrels per year)</b> | <b>Refinery Net Production (Tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|---|--|---|
| Finished Motor Gasoline                                       | 3,035,705  | 1,130,618,005   |
| Finished Aviation Gasoline                                    | 6570   | 2,294,294   |
| Kerosene-Type Jet Fuel  | 540,565  | 217,197,737   |
| Kerosene  | 17155  | 7,031,908   |
| Distillate Fuel Oil   | 1,477,885  | 629,610,456   |
| Residual Fuel Oil   | 231410   | 114,618,431   |
| Petrochemical Feedstocks                                      | 143445   | 23,187,615  |



|                        |        |             |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Special Naphthas       | 13140  | 5,154,664   |
| Lubricants             | 66795  | 12,023,689  |
| Waxes                  | 5475   | 880,634     |
| Petroleum Coke         | 309885 | 190,591,346 |
| Asphalt and Road Oil   | 184690 | 37,058,759  |
| Miscellaneous Products | 25185  | 4,240,404   |

### 4.3.2. Importers

To conduct a threshold analysis for emissions from petroleum importers, United States petroleum product importers and their respective petroleum product (asphalt, aviation gasoline, butylene, distillate, ethane, ethylene, n-butane, isobutane, jet fuel, kerosene, lubricants, motor gasoline, naphtha, other oils, pentanes plus, petcoke, propane, residual fuel, and special naphtha) and blendstocks (GTAB, RBOB, and others) imports were obtained from the EIA *Company Reports* for the year 2006

To calculate the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per importer, the carbon emission factors (MMTC/10<sup>15</sup> Btu) for the petroleum products (asphalt, aviation gasoline, distillate, ethane, n-butane, isobutene, jet fuel, kerosene, lubricants, motor gasoline, other oils, pentanes plus, petcoke, propane, and residual fuel) were obtained from the EIA, *Emissions of GHG in the United States 2001* with the exception of naphthas, and special naphthas that were obtained from the API *Compendium of GHG Estimation Methodologies for the Oil and Gas Industry 2004*. The carbon emission factors for ethylene, and butylene were assumed to be the same as ethane and butane respectively. The blendstocks (GTAB, RBOB, and others) were assumed to have the same carbon emission factor as motor gasoline.

The carbon emission factors were converted to CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors by assuming 100 percent oxidation of the fuels with the exception of petrochemical feedstocks, waxes, asphalt and road oil, and lubricants. Asphalt and road oil, waxes, lubricants, and petrochemical feedstock are reported as NEU (non-energy use) fuels by EPA and emit an average of 40 percent of their carbon as emissions. As a result these fuels were assumed to have 40 percent oxidation rate. The CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors (tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel) were calculated by multiplying the CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>/10<sup>15</sup> Btu) with their corresponding heat content obtained from the EIA, *Thermal Conversion Factors 2008*.

**Exhibit 22: Calculated CO<sub>2</sub> Emission Factor.**

| Fuel                     | Heat Content | Emission Factor           |   | Oxidation Rate |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|----------------|
|                          | MMBtu/bbl    | MMTC/10 <sup>15</sup> BTU | Tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> / bbl (calculated) |                |
| Motor Gasoline           | 5.25         | 19.34                     | 0.37                                      | 100%           |
| Petrochemical Feedstocks | 5.69         | 19.37                     | 0.40                                      | 40%            |
| Naphtha/ Reformer Feed   | 5.25         | 0.07*                     | 0.38                                      | 100%           |
| Ethane                   | 3.08         | 16.25                     | 0.18                                      | 100%           |
| Propane                  | 3.84         | 17.2                      | 0.24                                      | 100%           |

| Fuel                 | Heat Content | Emission Factor           |   | Oxidation Rate |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---|----------------|
|                      | MMBtu/bbl    | MMTC/10 <sup>15</sup> BTU | Tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> / bbl (calculated) |                |
| Butane               | 4.33         | 17.75                     | 0.28                                      | 100%           |
| Iso-butane**         | 3.97         | .065*                     | 0.26                                      | 100%           |
| Butylene             | 4.33         | 17.75                     | 0.28                                      | 100%           |
| Ethylene             | 3.08         | 16.25                     | 0.18                                      | 100%           |
| Pentane Plus         | 4.62         | 21.49                     | 0.33                                      | 100%           |
| Kerosene             | 5.67         | 19.72                     | 0.41                                      | 100%           |
| Kerosene/Jet Fuel    | 5.67         | 19.33                     | 0.40                                      | 100%           |
| Aviation Gas         | 5.05         | 18.87                     | 0.35                                      | 100%           |
| Residual Fuel Oil    | 6.29         | 21.49                     | 0.50                                      | 100%           |
| Distillate           | 5.83         | 19.95                     | 0.43                                      | 100%           |
| Lubricants           | 6.07         | 20.24                     | 0.45                                      | 40%            |
| Asphalt and Road Oil | 6.64         | 20.62                     | 0.50                                      | 40%            |
| Wax                  | 5.54         | 19.81                     | 0.40                                      | 40%            |
| Petroleum Coke       | 6.02         | 27.85                     | 0.62                                      | 100%           |
| Special Naphthas     | 5.25         | 0.075*                    | 0.39                                      | 100%           |

\*These API values are in tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu (average)

\*\*Isobutane CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor is obtained from form EIA-1605, *Fuel Emission Factors*, Appendix H

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per importer were estimated by multiplying the volume of petroleum products and blendstock imported with the corresponding CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor (tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/barrel).

### Exhibit 23: Calculated CO<sub>2</sub> (tonnes/year) Emissions per Importer

| Importers               | Total Emissions (tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> /year) |
|-------------------------|--|
| AEROPRES CORP           | 55,446   |
| AFTON CHEMICAL CORP     | 9,540  |
| AGGREGATE INDUSTRIES    | 64,200   |
| AGRI-MARK INC           | 11,500   |
| ALBINA ASPHALT          | 65,400   |
| ALEUT ENTERPRISE LLC    | 93,850   |
| ALL STATES ASPHALT INC  | 54,400   |
| ALON USA LP             | 16,600   |
| ALPAC MARKETING SERV    | 8,190  |
| AMERICAN AGIP CO INC    | 513,990  |
| AMERICAN HYDROTECH INC  | 9,400  |
| AMERICAN REFINING GROUP | 5,940  |
| AMERICHEM SALES CORP    | 2,070  |
| AMERIGAS PROPANE INC    | 43,055   |
| AMMEX INC               | 163,940  |
| ANDERES OIL INC         | 5,160  |
| APEX OIL CO INC         | 372,680  |
| ASTRA OIL CO LLC        | 1,418,390                                      |

| <b>Importers</b>              | <b>Total Emissions<br/>(tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|-------------------------------|---|
| ATLANTIC ENERGY INC           | 321,700   |
| ATLANTIC TRADING MARKETING    | 1,635,320   |
| AUTORE OIL CO                 | 12,470  |
| AVFUEL CORP                   | 7,370   |
| AXMEN PROPANE INC             | 9,191   |
| BARR BROTHERS INC             | 860   |
| BETTER ROAD ASPHALT CORP      | 105,800   |
| BHREAC PETROLEUM INC          | 58,800  |
| BIRCHWOOD TRADING INC         | 25,000  |
| BITUMAR                       | 282,600   |
| BLUE SEAL FEEDS INC           | 3,000   |
| BLUE WATER OIL TRANSPORT      | 92,640  |
| BOGNAR EJ INC                 | 52,700  |
| BOMINFLOT ATLANTIC LLC        | 582,000   |
| BP CANADA ENERGY MKTG CORP    | 3,273,172   |
| BP PRODUCTS N AMERICA INC     | 33,648,580  |
| BP WEST COAST PRODUCTS LLC    | 100,400   |
| BULK TRADING & TRANSP CO      | 17,000  |
| BURLINGTON NORTHERN RR        | 361,200   |
| B-V ASSOC INC                 | 2,160   |
| CAPEX INDUSTRIAL LTD          | 2,337,400   |
| CARBON PRCSG RECLAMATION LLC  | 207,500   |
| CARGILL INC                   | 246,050   |
| CARIBBEAN PETROLEUM           | 1,359,220   |
| CASS CITY OIL & GAS CO        | 55,470  |
| CASTROL N AMER AUTOMOTIVE INC | 34,380  |
| CAVALIER GAS CO               | 484   |
| CENTENNIAL ENERGY LLC         | 258,736   |
| CENTER OIL CO                 | 181,890   |
| CHEMOIL CORP                  | 6,731,500   |
| CHEVRON PHILLIPS CHEM PR CORE | 28,080  |
| CHEVRON PUERTO RICO LLC       | 22,200  |
| CHEVRON USA INC               | 8,927,056   |
| CHS INC                       | 113,502   |
| CII CARBON LLC                | 742,140   |
| CIRCLE LUBRICANTS INC         | 3,780   |
| CITGO ASPHALT REFINING CO     | 1,339,800   |
| CITGO PETROLEUM CORP          | 20,899,760  |
| CITY SERVICE VALCON           | 388,825   |
| CLARK OIL TRADING CO          | 92,880  |
| COCHIN PL LTD                 | 2,275,847   |
| COLEMAN OIL CO                | 46,220  |
| COLONIAL OIL INDUSTRIES INC   | 20,387,430  |

| <b>Importers</b>                  | <b>Total Emissions<br/>(tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| CONOCOPHILLIPS CO                 | 15,310,698  |
| CONSUMERS ENERGY CO               | 69,000  |
| CONTINENTAL MATERIALS INC         | 16,000  |
| D & C TRANSPORTATION INC          | 7,498   |
| DAIGLE OIL CO                     | 2,580   |
| DEAD RIVER CO                     | 82,481  |
| DELTA WESTERN INC                 | 335,300   |
| DOMTAR INDUSTRIES INC             | 131,000   |
| DOW CHEMICALS CO THE              | 648,759   |
| DOW HYDROCARBONS & RESRCS<br>INC  | 6,796,160   |
| EASTERN AVIATION FUELS INC        | 14,250  |
| EASTERN ENVIRONMENTAL<br>SERVICES | 3,420   |
| EMERALD KALAMA CHEMICAL LLC       | 162,640   |
| ENTERPRISE CO INC                 | 7,926,859   |
| EQUISTAR CHEMICALS LP             | 4,876,160   |
| EQUITABLE OIL PURCHASING          | 83,420  |
| EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL               | 419,840   |
| EXXONMOBIL OIL CORP               | 8,213,980   |
| FARSTAD OIL INC                   | 53,992  |
| FERRELL NORTH AMERICA             | 37,975  |
| FLINT HILLS RESOURCES LP          | 483,600   |
| FORMOSA PLASTICS CORP USA         | 2,200,580   |
| FUEL & MARINE MARKETING LLC       | 1,666,500   |
| GAS CO THE                        | 32,412  |
| GAS SUPPLY RESOURCES INC          | 456,669   |
| GAS SUPPLY RESOURCES LLC          | 581,721   |
| GEORGIA PACIFIC CORP              | 678,280   |
| GETTY PETROLEUM MARKETING<br>INC  | 162,060   |
| GIANT YORKTOWN INC                | 233,470   |
| GLENCORE LTD                      | 12,684,180  |
| GLOBAL CO LLC                     | 4,186,980   |
| GOETZ ENERGY                      | 112,010   |
| GREAT LAKES CARBON LLC            | 2,379,560   |
| GRIFFITH ENERGY DBA SEIMAX        | 163,994   |
| GRIFFITH OIL CO INC               | 80,520  |
| GULF OIL LP                       | 50,310  |
| HARBOR BUNKERING CORP             | 457,830   |
| HAWAII FUELING FACILITIES CORP    | 928,800   |
| HELM US CHEMICAL CORP             | 27,690  |
| HERMAN OIL INC                    | 27,090  |
| HESS CORP                         | 30,483,590  |
| HOVENSA LLC                       | 1,491,760   |
| HUDSON LIQ ASPHALT INC            | 83,800  |

| <b>Importers</b>                  | <b>Total Emissions<br/>(tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| ICC CHEMICAL CORP                 | 69,420  |
| IDAHO ASPHALT SUPPLY INC          | 59,600  |
| IDEMITSU LUBR AMERICA CORP        | 40,500  |
| INERGY PROPANE LLC                | 49,343  |
| INFINEUM USA LP                   | 22,500  |
| INTALCO ALUM CORP                 | 112,220   |
| IPC USA INC                       | 810,780   |
| IRVING OIL CORP                   | 24,809,541  |
| IRVING OIL TRANSPORTATION CO      | 325,600   |
| ISLAND COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS        | 1,000   |
| ISO BUNKERS LLC                   | 6,500   |
| JACKSON ENERGY AUTHORITY          | 2,310,740   |
| JARON CORP                        | 13,000  |
| JENSEN NORMAN G INC               | 131,585   |
| JET GAS INC                       | 2,661   |
| KATAHDIN PAPER CO LLC             | 217,500   |
| KILDAIR SERVICE LTEE              | 327,000   |
| KINETIC RESOURCES USA             | 120,214   |
| KOCH SUPPLY & TRADING CO          | 1,761,940   |
| KOLMAR AMERICAS INC               | 598,630   |
| LAKES GAS CO                      | 2,661   |
| LANE CONSTR CORP                  | 12,000  |
| LAXFUEL CORP                      | 5,650,800   |
| LIQUID GAS CO                     | 2,419   |
| LOUIS DREYFUS ENERGY SVCS<br>LP   | 548,510   |
| LUKOIL PAN-AMERICAS LLC           | 5,494,000   |
| LUND OIL INC                      | 14,835  |
| MAGRABAR CHEMICAL CORP            | 540   |
| MAINE PROPANE DSTR                | 29,267  |
| MARATHON PETROLEUM CO LLC         | 4,244,131   |
| MATCON TRADING CORP               | 31,000  |
| MCCAIN FOODS                      | 11,000  |
| MICHIGAN PETROLEUM TECH           | 71,810  |
| MIDLAND ASPHALT INC               | 1,200   |
| MIECO INC                         | 244,750   |
| MOORE OIL INC                     | 2,150   |
| MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GRP<br>INC | 15,481,090  |
| MOTIVA ENTERPRISES LLC            | 50,000  |
| MX PETROLEUM CORP                 | 98,040  |
| NECO DSTR                         | 274,250   |
| NESTE OIL USA LLC                 | 0   |
| NEXT PETROLEUM LTD                | 115,950   |
| NOBLE AMERICAS CORP               | 494,280   |
| NOCO ENERGY CORP                  | 150,913   |

| <b>Importers</b>                     | <b>Total Emissions<br/>(tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| NORTHERN ENERGY INC                  | 161,576   |
| NORTHLAND PRODUCTS CO                | 1,980   |
| NORTHVILLE INDUSTRIES CORP           | 1,917,050   |
| NORTHWEST PETROLEUM CO               | 67,940  |
| NOVA CHEMICAL CORP                   | 832,304   |
| NRG ENERGY MARKETING                 | 251,500   |
| NYNAS USA INC                        | 56,880  |
| OWENS CORNING                        | 27,000  |
| PARAMOUNT PETOLEUM CORP              | 59,800  |
| PARAMOUNT PETROLEUM CORP             | 12,400  |
| PARKERS PROPANE GAS CO               | 89,737  |
| PECKHAM INDUSTRIES INC               | 66,200  |
| PENNZOIL QUAKER STATE CO             | 66,600  |
| PETROBRAS AMERICA INC                | 3,393,370   |
| PETRO-CANADA CHEMICAL INC            | 367,380   |
| PETROLEUM DIAMOND INC                | 144,710   |
| PETROLEUM MARINE SERVICE             | 161,690   |
| PETROSOL INTL                        | 69,419  |
| PHILLIPS C OIL CO                    | 65,360  |
| PIKE INDUSTRIAL INC                  | 40,600  |
| PLAINS MARKETING LP                  | 377,625   |
| PMI TRADING LTD                      | 3,860,990   |
| PONDEROSA PETROLEUM CO               | 17,500  |
| PRSI TRADING LP                      | 113,590   |
| QUADRA ENERGY TRADING INC            | 88,528  |
| RECOCHEM INC                         | 12,720  |
| RICH ENERGY INC                      | 4,838   |
| RIO ENERGY INTL                      | 95,500  |
| SABIC MARKETING AMERICAS INC         | 292,780   |
| SAFETY-KLEEN CANADA INC              | 85,860  |
| SALMON RESOURCES LTD                 | 114,097   |
| SAMSUNG AMERICA INC                  | 202,540   |
| SARGEANT MARINE INC                  | 68,800  |
| SEA 3 INC                            | 950,829   |
| SEA 3 OF FLORIDA INC                 | 714,029   |
| SEMMATERIALS                         | 107,400   |
| SEMPRA ENERGY TRADING CORP           | 1,388,000   |
| SEMSTREAM LP                         | 625,017   |
| SENECA PETROLEUM CO INC              | 27,000  |
| SHELL CO PUERTO RICO LTD             | 5,000   |
| SHELL GUAM INC                       | 2,263,100   |
| SHELL OIL PRODUCTS US PUGET<br>SOUND | 16,000  |
| SHELL US TRADING CO                  | 7,406,470   |
| SIMONS PETROLEUM INC                 | 430   |
| SK E & P CO                          | 256,860   |

| <b>Importers</b>                      | <b>Total Emissions<br/>(tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b> |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| SONNEBORN INC                         | 5,400   |
| SPRAGUE ENERGY CORP                   | 526,640   |
| STATOIL MKTG & TRDG US INC            | 4,099,970   |
| SUBURBAN PROPANE GAS CO               | 52,246  |
| SUIT-KOTE CORP                        | 25,000  |
| SUNOCO INC                            | 1,426,041   |
| SWANSTON EQUIPMENT CO                 | 16,800  |
| TARGA MIDSTREAM SERVICES LP           | 2,622,810   |
| TAUBER OIL CO                         | 104,520   |
| TAUBER PETROCHEMICAL CO               | 68,780  |
| TESORO HAWAII CORP                    | 135,590   |
| TESORO PETROLEUM CORP                 | 1,838,570   |
| TEXAS PETROCHEMICALS LP               | 877,715   |
| TEXPAR ENERGY LLC                     | 393,680   |
| TIDAL ENERGY MARKETING INC            | 163,606   |
| TRAFIGURA AG                          | 9,652,636   |
| TRAMMOCHEM DIV OF<br>TRANSAMMONIA INC | 131,430   |
| TRANSMONTAIGNE PRODT SVCS<br>INC      | 312,500   |
| TRIGEANT LTD                          | 52,000  |
| TRIPLE CLEAN OIL CO                   | 39,500  |
| ULTRAMAR ENERGY INC                   | 2,339,570   |
| UPS SUPPLY SERVICES                   | 75,225  |
| VALERO MARKETING & SUPPLY<br>CO       | 990,250   |
| VITOL SA INC                          | 31,932,359  |
| WARNER PETROLEUM CORP                 | 257,500   |
| WARREN GE                             | 14,725,500  |
| WESTERN PETROLEUM CO                  | 725,090   |
| WESTPORT PETROLEUM INC                | 6,588,360   |
| WHATCOM BUILDERS INC                  | 5,400   |
| WHITE MOUNTAIN OIL CO INC             | 2,419   |
| WILLIAMS OLEFINS LLC                  | 171,009   |

The number of importers with emissions greater than the specified threshold emission value was identified i.e. for the emission threshold value of 1,000 CO<sub>2</sub>, and all importers possessing total emissions >1000 CO<sub>2</sub> were calculated. The total percent of emissions covered by each threshold limit was calculated by dividing the total emissions covered by the threshold limit with the total national emissions.

#### **Exhibit 24: Threshold Analysis for Importers**

| <b>Threshold (tonnes<br/>CO<sub>2</sub>/year)</b>   | <b>1000</b> | <b>10,000</b> | <b>25,000</b> | <b>100,000</b> |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Emissions covered<br>(tonnes CO <sub>2</sub> /year) | 387,150,951 | 387,029,025   | 386,720,250   | 383,492,083    |

|                                 |      |      |        |        |
|---------------------------------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Percentage of Emissions covered | 100% | 100% | 99.89% | 99.05% |
| Importers covered               | 218  | 192  | 173    | 119    |
| Percentage of importers covered | 97%  | 86%  | 77%    | 53%    |

### 4.3.3. Exporters

According to the Rule both importers and exporters are to report volumes and emissions at the company level. Import data is available on the EIA website. However, the individual forms that have to be submitted by Exporters to the Department of Commerce are only available for analysis under the FOIA. Consequently, no threshold analysis was performed for Exporters.

## 4.4. Monitoring Method Costs

Monitoring costs were estimated for each refinery to perform the tests with their in-house laboratories. Test methods for analyzing the carbon content of petroleum products include ASTM D5291 (2007), ASTM D6730-01(2006)e1 and ASTM D6733-01(2006). Alternatively refineries and importers can send the samples to an external lab for testing, the cost of which will be dependant upon specific contract terms unique to each company.



**Exhibit 25: Refinery Monitoring and Reporting Costs**

| Respondent Activity | Respondent Activity Description  | Annualized Capital Cost (2006\$)    |  |   | Operating & Maintenance Costs (includes fixed and variable) -- 2006\$ (See O&M Tab) |  |   | Total Reporting Unit/ Facility Cost (Labor + Capital + O&M)-- 2006\$ |  |   |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
|                     |  | First Year                          |  | Second Year                               | First Year  |  | Second Year                               | First Year   |  | Second Year                               |
|                     |  | First Reporting Period - First Year | Subsequent Reporting Period - First Year | Subsequent Reporting Period - Second Year | First Reporting Period - First Year   | Subsequent Reporting Period - First Year | Subsequent Reporting Period - Second Year | First Reporting Period - First Year                                  | Subsequent Reporting Period - First Year | Subsequent Reporting Period - Second Year |
| Registration        | Review of regulation requirements, required data and reporting process - (gap analysis)                                | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 5,235   | \$ 563                                   | \$ 664                                    |
|                     | Advice from legal counsel and/ or outside consultants  | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 825   | \$ 261                                   | \$ 261                                    |
|                     | Register with EPA; provide facility details, operation parameters, etc.  | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 2,263   | \$ 231                                   | \$ 332                                    |
| Monitoring          | Identify the method and frequency for monitoring each input and output   | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 5,885   | \$ 462                                   | \$ 373                                    |
|                     | Monitoring OPTION 1: Use existing data from other reporting requirements or internal company practices (ex. EIA, FERC) | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -   | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      |
|                     | Monitoring OPTION 2: Fuel quantity measurement and composition analysis from in-house labs                             | \$ 7,119                            | \$ 21,357                                | \$ 28,476                                 | \$ 12,500   | \$ 37,500                                | \$ 50,000                                 | \$ 23,373  | \$ 59,533                                | \$ 78,706                                 |
|                     | Monitoring OPTION 3: Fuel quantity measurement and third party composition analysis                                    | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -   | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      |
| Reporting           | Data documentation and report submission   | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 1,694   | \$ 535                                   | \$ 160                                    |
| Archiving           | Time required to file data (hard copy and electronic)  | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ 373   | \$ 373                                   | \$ 373                                    |
| Auditing            | Auditing assistance to EPA audit   | \$ -                                | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -  | \$ -                                     | \$ -                                      | \$ -   | \$ -                                     | \$ 842                                    |

**Exhibit 26: Refinery Unit Cost for Monitoring and Reporting**

| Monitoring Option or Fuels Calculation Method | Cost of Monitoring Instruments |                            |                                   |                     | Monitoring Costs (\$/year) |             | Other Annual Costs - (registration, reporting, archiving, and auditing \$/year) |             | Total Annual Unit Cost - Average (\$/year) |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---|-------------|--|
|   | Capital Cost (\$)              | Equipment Lifetime (years) | Annualized Capital Cost (\$/year) | O&M Costs (\$/year) | First Year                 | Second Year | First Year  | Second Year |  |
| Option 2                                      | \$ 200,000                     | 10                         | \$28,476                          | \$50,000            | \$89,253                   | \$ 79,079   | \$12,351  | \$2,631     | \$ 91,658                                  |

Appendix

Source-specific Summary

| Reporting Program/Guidance                             | Source Category (or Fuel) | Coverage (Gases or Fuels) | Coverage (Emissions Types) | Coverage (Threshold)                               | Level of Reporting (e.g., facility, unit)                 | Points of Monitoring                        | Monitoring Methods for Source/Fuel | Purpose of Method (e.g. mandatory reporting, voluntary reporting, etc) | Supplemental Data Reported (e.g., production, capacity, waste-in-place) | Quality Assurance/Quality Control Procedures                          |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| EIA reporting for Refineries                           | Petroleum Refineries      | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | Varied   | Refinery  | Throughout refinery                         | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | 800, 810, 820 data reconciled against one another for consistency     |
| EPA reporting for Refineries                           | Petroleum Refineries      | Petroleum                 | NOx, VOC                   | No threshold                                       | Refinery  | Throughout refinery                         | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | Internal and random in-person auditing                                |
| EIA reporting for Imports                              | Petroleum Imports         | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | Varied   | Importer  | Pipeline - at border<br>Marine - at offload | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | Weekly and monthly data reconciled against each other for consistency |
| EPA reporting for Imports                              | Petroleum Imports         | Petroleum                 | NOx, VOC                   | No threshold                                       | Importer  | Pipeline - at border<br>Marine - at offload | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | Internal and random in-person auditing                                |
| FERC reporting for Exports                             | Petroleum Exports         | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | Based on revenue                                   | Pipeline  | At border                                   | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | None currently  |
| MMS reporting for Upstream Production                  | Petroleum Others          | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | No threshold                                       | Federal land lessee                                       | Lease meter                                 | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | Royalty information from OGOR and PASR compared                       |
| FHWA reporting for Consumption                         | Petroleum Others          | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | N/A  | State agency responsible for collection of motor fuel tax | Pump sales                                  | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | None  |
| Army Corps of Engineers reporting for Marine Movements | Petroleum Others          | Petroleum                 | N/A                        | Trips less than 1 mile not required to be reported | Domestic commercial operator                              | Ports                                       | Meters                             | Mandatory Reporting  | N/A   | Reconciliation with dock receipts                                     |
| Oil & Gas Journal Worldwide Refinery Survey            | Petroleum Refineries      | Petroleum                 | N/A                        |  | Refinery  |   |                                    |  |   | None  |

## Petroleum Refineries

| Agency                            | Reporting Form Full Official Title              | Who must file the report?   | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)  | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form?             | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting? | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions?  | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?                       | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-800 Weekly Refinery and Fractionator Report | Operators of all petroleum refineries and fractionators selected by the EIA   | 90% (per weekly sample selection procedure)   | Input, production, stocks (1000 bbl)   | Refinery; Stocks in <i>custody</i> of refinery reported regardless of ownership | Quantities of at least 500 barrels are reported  | Weekly                              | Operating information                            | Yes   | No   | 800, 810 and 820 reconciled against one another for consistency | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-810 Monthly Refinery Report                 | Operators of all operating and idle petroleum refineries located in the 50 States, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other U.S. possessions  | All   | Refinery input (1000 bbl), Operable capacity of atmospheric crude oil distillation units on the first day of the month (barrels per calendar day), Weighted average sulfur content of crude oil, Weighted average API gravity of crude oil, Refinery operations: beginning stocks, receipts, inputs, production, shipments, fuel uses & losses, ending stocks reported for each product except where field is shaded                                       | Refinery; Stocks in <i>custody</i> of refinery reported regardless of ownership | Quantities of at least 500 barrels are reported  | Monthly                             | Operating information                            | Yes   | No   | 800, 810 and 820 reconciled against one another for consistency | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-820 Annual Refinery Report                  | All operating and idle petroleum refineries (including new refineries under construction) and refineries shutdown during the previous year, located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other U.S. possessions | All   | Quantity of natural gas and coal purchased for used as a fuel; Quantity of electricity and steam purchased for all uses; Receipts of crude oil (domestic and foreign) by method of transportation; Operable capacity of atmospheric crude oil distillation units on the first day of the year (barrels per calendar day and barrels per stream day), Downstream charge capacity; Production capacity (barrels per stream day); Storage capacity (1000 bbl) | Refinery  | None   | Annual                              | Operating information                            | Yes   | Operable atmospheric crude oil distillation capacity available by refinery; Other aggregated data (by PADD and state) available: <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/data_publications/refinery_capacity_data/refcapacity.html">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/data_publications/refinery_capacity_data/refcapacity.html</a> | 800, 810 and 820 reconciled against one another for consistency | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |

## Petroleum Refineries (continued)

| Agency                            | Reporting Form Full Official Title   | Who must file the report?   | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)  | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form?   | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting? | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions? | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?  | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-819 Monthly Oxygenate Report   | Operators of all facilities that produce (manufacture or distill) oxygenates (including MTBE plants, petrochemical plants, and refineries that produce oxygenates as part of their operations located in the 50 States and the District of Columbia | All   | Production and stocks of oxygenate products (1000 bbl)   | Stocks in <i>custody</i> of refinery reported regardless of ownership | 500 barrels  | Monthly                             | Operating information                            | Yes   | No  | None   | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency   | EPA Form 3520-20H Anti-Dumping Program Annual Report                                       | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline (or RBOB), conventional gasoline, or applicable blendstocks  | All   | Company ID, Facilities represented, Total volume of conventional gasoline produced or imported (gallons) | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual                              | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency   | EPA Form 3520-20L Reformulated Gasoline Program NOx Emissions Performance Averaging Report | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB  | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Total volume of averaged reformulated gasoline or RBOB (gallons)                | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual                              | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency   | EPA Form 3520-20M Reformulated Gasoline Program VOC Emissions Performance Averaging Report | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB  | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Total volume of averaged reformulated gasoline or RBOB (gallons)                | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual                              | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |

Petroleum Refineries (continued)

| Agency                          | Reporting Form Full Official Title   | Who must file the report?  | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)   | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form? | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting?                   | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions? | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?  | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form DSF0600 Designate & Track Total Volume Report   | Facilities handling diesel fuel including refiners and importers | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Tax/dye/marker status, Product type, Received (gallon), Delivered (gallon), Produced (gallon), Imported (gallon), Beginning inventory (gallon), Ending inventory (gallon)  | Fuel in custody of facility reported regardless of ownership        | None   | Annual<br><br>(Quarterly for truck loading terminals) | Operating information                            | Yes   | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Oil & Gas Journal               | Worldwide Refinery Survey<br><a href="http://ogiresearch.stores.yahoo.net/worrefsurvey.html">http://ogiresearch.stores.yahoo.net/worrefsurvey.html</a> |  |   | Country; Location; City; State; Number of plants; Crude capacities; Charge capacity for vacuum dist.; Thermal operations; Catalytic reforming, hydrocracking, hydrotreating, and cracking; Production capacity for alkylation; Polymerization; Aromatics; Isomerization; Lubes; Oxygenates; Asphalt; Hydrogen; Coke; Sulfur |   |  | Annual  |  |   |   | None   |  |

Petroleum Imports

| Agency                            | Reporting Form Full Official Title   | Who must file the report?  | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)  | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form? | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting? | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement?                             | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions? | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?  | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-814 Monthly Imports Report<br><br>Company level imports data available here: <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/data_publications/company_level_imports/di.html">http://www.eia.doe.gov/oil_gas/petroleum/data_publications/company_level_imports/di.html</a> | All importers of record who import crude or petroleum products into the 50 States and D.C. from foreign countries, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and other U.S. possessions   | Nearly all (transactions of major products rarely have volumes below reporting threshold)                       | Importer information, Type of commodity, Port of entry, Country of origin, Quantity (1000 bbl), Sulfur percent by weight API gravity (crude only), Name and location of processing company (crude and unfinished products) | Importer of record  | All transactions of at least 500 barrels are reported                                    | Monthly                             | From foreign supplier                            | Yes   | Yes   | Frame check against Customs Form 7501, try to match companies that are not in EIA data | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-804 Weekly Imports Report  | Selected importers of record who import crude or petroleum products into the 50 States and D.C. from foreign countries, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and other U.S. possessions; Companies selected into weekly sample must report each week even if there were zero imports | 90% (per weekly sample selection procedure)   | Importer information, Imports volume by destination (entry port) PADD, Total crude oil imports by country of origin  | Importer of record  | None   | Weekly                              | Transaction-specific information                 | Firms have raw information but may not compute these specific numbers without the reporting requirement | No  | Imported volumes verified by EIA-814   | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-856 Monthly Foreign Crude Oil Acquisition Report   | All firms reporting as of June 1982 and all firms that imported more than 500,000 bbl of foreign crude for the report month  | ~90% (don't know how much is "under the radar")   | Importer information, Country of origin, API gravity, Port of loading, Port of destination, Vessel or pipeline, Terms and location of acquisition, Volume (bbl), Price (\$/bbl), Landed cost (\$/bbl), Name of vendor      | Importer  | 500,000 barrels of foreign crude acquired  | Monthly                             | At loading; transaction-specific information     | Yes   | Aggregated data   | Imported volumes verified by EIA-814   | Carbon content could be derived from raw data reported on crude imports and crude oil assay data |
| Energy Information Administration | EIA-14 Refiners' Monthly Cost Report   | All refiners (except independent natural gas processors)   | All   | Refiner information, Imported crude cost (\$1000), Imported crude volume (1000 bbl)  | Refiner   | None   | Monthly                             | Summary information                              | Firms have raw information but may not compute these specific numbers without the reporting requirement | Aggregated data   | Imported volumes verified by EIA-814   | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |

## Petroleum Imports (continued)

| Agency                          | Reporting Form Full Official Title   | Who must file the report?  | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)  | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form? | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting?               | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions? | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?  | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form 3520-27 Load Port/Port of Entry Independent Sampling, Testing and Refinery/Importer Identification Form | Importers of gasoline  | All   | Foreign refinery registration number, Importer registration number and information, Vessel information, Gasoline volume (gallons)  | Importer  | None   | Per shipment                                      | Operating information                            | Yes   | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form 3520-20H Anti-Dumping Program Annual Report   | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline (or RBOB), conventional gasoline, or applicable blendstocks | All   | Company ID, Facilities represented, Total volume of conventional gasoline produced or imported (gallons)   | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual  | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form 3520-20L Reformulated Gasoline Program NOx Emissions Performance Averaging Report                       | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB   | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Total volume of averaged reformulated gasoline or RBOB (gallons)  | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual  | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form 3520-20M Reformulated Gasoline Program VOC Emissions Performance Averaging Report                       | Producers and importers of reformulated gasoline or RBOB   | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Total volume of averaged reformulated gasoline or RBOB (gallons)  | Refiner / Importer  | None   | Annual  | Operating information                            | Gasoline volume: yes; Compliance calculations: no                           | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Environmental Protection Agency | EPA Form DSF0600 Designate & Track Total Volume Report   | Facilities including refiners and importers of diesel fuel   | All   | Company ID, Facility ID, Tax/dye/marker status, Product type, Received (gallon), Delivered (gallon), Produced (gallon), Imported (gallon), Beginning inventory (gallon), Ending inventory (gallon) | Fuel in custody of facility reported regardless of ownership        | None   | Annual<br>(Quarterly for truck loading terminals) | Operating information                            | Yes   | No  | Sanctions for failure to comply; internal auditing for completeness and accuracy of submitted data; random in-person audit by EPA's enforcement office | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |




Petroleum Exports

| Agency                 | Reporting Form Full Official Title                | Who must file the report?   | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?) | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form? | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting? | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions?          | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements? | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Department of Commerce | Commerce Form 7525-V Shipper's Export Declaration | Generally required for shipment from the U.S. and U.S. possessions to foreign countries | All   | Quantity (bbl), Weight (kg)           | N/A   | N/A  | Per shipment                        | Transaction-specific information                 | Yes, operating information  | Aggregated export statistics available from Census Bureau (EM-522, EM-594) | Unknown                                   | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |

Petroleum Others

| Agency                         | Reporting Form Full Official Title   | Who must file the report?   | What percent of facilities and fuel flow does the report capture? (i.e., what is the coverage of the industry?) | What is Reported (product and units?)   | How is ownership of the fuel throughput treated in the report form? | What is the threshold for reporting, i.e., minimum level of throughput or facility size? | What is the frequency of Reporting?            | How does the facility collect the data reported? | Would the facility need this information without the reporting requirement? | Is the information reported publicly available? Any restrictions?   | What are the Agency's QA/QC requirements?   | Summary Comments: How good is this report for gaining an accurate accounting of fuel and carbon? |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Minerals Management Service    | MMS-4054A Oil and Gas Operations Report (OGOR) Part A - Well Production  | Federal offshore and Federal/Indian onshore MMS lessees                           | All MMS lessees   | MMS lease/agreement number;<br>Production month;<br>Operator information;<br>Operator well number;<br>Well status code;<br>Days produced;<br>Production volume (bbl for oil);<br>Injection volume | Depends on the point of royalty determination                       | None   | Monthly, 45 days following the reporting month | Operating information                            | Yes   | Historical data available:<br><a href="http://www.gomr.mms.gov/homepg/pubinfo/freeasci/product/freeprod.html">http://www.gomr.mms.gov/homepg/pubinfo/freeasci/product/freeprod.html</a> | Compliance asset management compares to royalty on the MMS 2014                     | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Minerals Management Service    | MMS-4058 Production Allocation Schedule Report (PASR)  | Operators of facility/measurement point handling production from Federal offshore | Required for Federal offshore only  | Production number;<br>API gravity;<br>Operator information;<br>Operator/area/block;<br>Injector (oil/gas/both);<br>Metering point;<br>MMS lease/agreement number;<br>Sales/transfers volume       | Depends on the point of royalty determination                       | None   | Monthly, 45 days following the reporting month | Operating information                            | Yes   | No; Offshore Minerals Management (OMM) has complete access  | Compliance asset management compares royalty information on OGOR and PASR           | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Federal Highway Administration | FHWA-551M Monthly Motor-Fuel Consumption   | State agencies that collect the motor-fuel tax for their respective states        | All   | State name,<br>Year and month of sale or transfer,<br>Volumes (gallons or liters)   | N/A   | N/A  | Monthly  | Tax record                                       | Yes   | Yes   | None  | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Army Corps of Engineers        | ENG Form 3925 Vessel Operation Report, Statement of Freight and Passengers Carried                                 | All domestic operators engaged in commercial activity on navigable waters         | Not all -- 3925-B and 3925-P may be submitted in lieu of this form  | Loading and discharge information;<br>Cargo data: commodity, quantity, unit, weight per unit, net tons;<br>Shipper information  | N/A   | Trips less than 1 mile not required to be reported                                       | Monthly  | Operating information                            | Yes   | Aggregated data   | Data submissions taken at face value in general;<br>Some dock receipts to reconcile | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |
| Army Corps of Engineers        | ENG Form 3925B Vessel Operation Report, Statement of Freight and Passengers Carried (Shallow Draft Inland Traffic) | Shallow draft barge and tow boat operators  | All   | Vessel information;<br>Origin and destination information;<br>Cargo data: commodity, tons   | N/A   | Trips less than 1 mile not required to be reported                                       | Monthly  | Operating information                            | Yes   | Aggregated data   | Data submissions taken at face value in general;<br>Some dock receipts to reconcile | Report does not contain information on carbon content of fuel                                    |



*Oil & Gas Journal 200, 2006*

| Company                               | 2006 Worldwide<br>Liquids Production<br>(Million Bbl) | 2006 U.S. Liquids<br>Production (Million<br>Bbl) |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| BP                                    |   | 213.89   |
| ExxonMobil Corp.                      | 832.00  | 116.00   |
| ConocoPhillips                        | 534.00  | 162.00   |
| Chevron Corp.                         | 632.00  | 169.00   |
| Anadarko Petroleum Corp.              | 86.00   | 54.00  |
| Devon Energy Corp.                    | 78.00   | 38.00  |
| Occidental Petroleum Corp.            | 142.00  | 98.00  |
| Marathon Oil Corp.                    | 86.00   | 28.00  |
| El Paso Corp.                         | 7.69  | 7.44   |
| Chesapeake Energy Corp.               | 6.76  | 6.76   |
| Apache Corp.                          | 86.25   | 27.31  |
| Amerada Hess Corp.                    | 94.00   | 17.00  |
| Dominion Exploration & Production     | 24.95   | 9.75   |
| XTO Energy Inc.                       | 20.80   | 20.80  |
| Noble Energy Inc.                     | 27.34   | 16.72  |
| EOG Resources, Inc.                   | 13.65   | 10.68  |
| Williams Cos. Inc.                    | NA  | NA   |
| Murphy Oil Corp.                      | 27.70   | 7.70   |
| Pioneer Natural Resources Co.         | 17.82   | 14.09  |
| Pogo Producing Co.                    | 13.48   | 8.11   |
| Newfield Exploration Co.              | 9.00  | 7.80   |
| Questar Corp.                         | 2.60  | 2.60   |
| Cimarex Energy Co.                    | 0.27  | 0.27   |
| Helix Energy Solutions Group Inc.     | 3.40  | 3.40   |
| Petrohawk Energy Corp.                | 1.56  | 1.56   |
| Forest Oil Corp.                      | 8.03  | 6.89   |
| Range Resources Corp.                 | 4.25  | 4.25   |
| W&T Offshore Inc.                     | 6.46  | 6.46   |
| Cheniere Energy Inc.                  | 0.00  | 0.00   |
| Whiting Petroleum Corp.               | 0.67  | 6.70   |
| Plains Exploration & Production Co.   | 18.98   | 18.98  |
| Southwestern Energy Co.               | 0.70  | 0.70   |
| Denbury Resources Inc.                | 8.37  | 8.37   |
| Stone Energy Corp.                    | 5.59  | 5.59   |
| Encore Acquisition Co.                | 7.34  | 7.34   |
| St. Mary Land & Exploration Co.       | 6.06  | 6.06   |
| Quicksilver Resources Inc.            | 1.33  | 1.33   |
| Comstock Resources Inc.               | 2.30  | 2.30   |
| Unit Corp.                            | 1.45  | 1.45   |
| Kinder Morgan CO2 Co. LP              | 15.63   | 15.63  |
| Cabot Oil & Gas Corp.                 | 1.42  | 1.42   |
| Energen Resources Corp.               | 3.65  | 3.65   |
| Equitable Supply                      | 0.11  | 0.11   |
| Houston Exploration Co.               | 0.94  | 0.94   |
| Penn Virginia Corp.                   | 0.38  | 0.38   |
| Swift Energy Co.                      | 7.90  | 7.18   |
| ATP Oil & Gas Corp.                   | 3.27  | 3.25   |
| Ultra Petroleum                       | 2.20  | 0.59   |
| Rosetta Resources Inc.                | 0.58  | 0.58   |
| Seneca Resources Corp.                | 3.61  | 3.34   |
| Berry Petroleum Co.                   | 7.18  | 7.18   |
| Bill Barrett Corp.                    | 0.70  | 0.70   |
| Fidelity Exploration & Production Co. | 2.10  | 2.10   |
| CNX Gas Corp.                         |   |  |
| Energy Partners Ltd.                  | 3.01  | 3.01   |
| Delta Petroleum Corp.                 | 1.35  | 1.35   |
| Petroleum Development Corp.           | 0.63  | 0.63   |
| Clayton Williams Energy Inc.          | 2.37  | 2.37   |
| Belden & Blake Corp.                  | 0.37  | 0.37   |
| Callon Petroleum Co.                  | 1.63  | 1.63   |
| DTE Gas & Oil Co.                     | NA  | NA   |
| Peoples Energy Production             | 0.35  | 0.35   |
| Brigham Exploration Co.               | 0.44  | 0.44   |
| PetroQuest Energy Inc.                | 0.70  | 0.70   |

|  |      |      |
|--|------|------|
| Carrizo Oil & Gas                      | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| Goodrich Petroleum Corp.               | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Meridian Resource Corp.                | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Quest Resources Inc.                   | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Parallel Petroleum Corp.               | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| McMoran Exploration Co.                | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| Black Hills Corp.                      | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Atlas America Inc.                     | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Warren Resources                       | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Edge Petroleum Corp.                   | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Toreador Resources Corp.               | 0.58 | 0.06 |
| Prime Energy Corp.                     | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Legacy Reserves LP                     | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Aurora Oil & Gas Corp.                 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| GMX Resources Inc.                     | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Challenger Minerals, Inc.              | 0.54 | 0.10 |
| Gulfport Energy Corp.                  | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| NGAS Resources Inc.                    | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Arena Resources Inc.                   | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Dorchester Mineral Ltd.                | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Gasco Energy Inc.                      | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Cano Petroleum Inc.                    | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Exploration Co.                        | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Harken Energy Corp.                    | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Abraxas Petroleum Corp.                | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Contango Oil & Gas Co.                 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Crimson Exploration Inc.               | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Panhandle Royalty Co.                  | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| American Oil & Gas Inc.                | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| New Century Energy Corp.               | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Double Eagle Petroleum Co.             | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Hallador Petroleum Co.                 |      |      |
| Infinity Inc.                          | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Dune Energy Inc.                       | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| PRB Energy Inc.                        |      |      |
| Evolution Petroleum Corp.              | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Galaxy Energy Corp.                    |      |      |
| Credo Petroleum Corp.                  | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Teton Energy Corp.                     |      |      |
| FX Energy Inc.                         | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Petrol Oil & Gas Inc.                  | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Westside Energy Corp.                  | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Royale Energy Inc.                     | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| New Frontier Energy Inc.               |      |      |
| Tri-Valley Corp.                       | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Tengasco Inc.                          | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| San Juan Basin Royalty Trust           | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Adams Resources & Energy Inc.          | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Cross Timbers Royalty Trust            | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Houston American Energy Corp.          | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| EnDevCo Inc.                           | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Aspen Exploration Corp.                |      |      |
| Daleco Resources Corp.                 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| VTEX Energy Inc.                       | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Reserve Petroleum Co.                  | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| GeoResources Inc.                      | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| United Heritage Corp.                  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Cubic Energy Inc.                      | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Spindletop Oil & Gas Co.               | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Blue Dolphin Energy Co.                | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Basic Earth Science Systems Inc.       | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Petro Resources Corp.                  | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fieldpoint Petroleum Corp.             | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| John D. Oil and Gas Co.                | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mexco Energy Corp.                     | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Apache Offshore Investment Partnership | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Oakridge Energy Inc.                   | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Texas Vanguard Oil Co.                 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Pioneer Oil & Gas                      | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Pyramid Oil Co.                        | 0.07 | 0.07 |

|  |    |      |      |
|--|----|------|------|
| Permian Basin Royalty Trust              |    | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Sabine Royalty Trust                     |    | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| Miller Petroleum Inc.                    |    | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| GSV Inc.                                 |    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| LL&E Royalty Trust                       |    | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Bayou City Exploration Inc.              |    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ness Energy International Inc.           |    | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Lucas Energy Inc.                        |    | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Capco Energy Inc.                        | NA |      | NA   |
| Empiric Energy Inc.                      | NA |      | NA   |
| Petrol Industries Inc.                   | NA |      | NA   |
| Shell Exploration and Production Company |    |      |      |

Companies listed in OGJ 2005 but not in 2006

Altex Industries Inc.  
 Blue Ridge Energy Inc.  
 Burlington Resources Inc.  
 Cadence Resources Corp.  
 EnCana  
 Hunt Oil Company  
 KCS Energy Inc.  
 Kerr-McGee Corp.  
 Kestrel Energy Inc.  
 Natural Gas Systems Inc.  
 Oneok Inc.  
 Remington Oil & Gas Corp.  
 Resource America, Inc.

Torch Energy Services  
 TotalFinaElf  
 Trek Resources Inc.  
 Unocal Corp.  
 Venoco  
 W & T Offshore, Inc.  
 Western Gas Resources  
 Westside Energy Corp.  
 Whittier Energy Corp.